What Is the Psychoanalytic Consortium and the ACPE?

As president, one of my responsibilities is to attend the meetings of the Psychoanalytic Consortium. You may not know much about the history or the activities of this group so I would like to devote much of this column to informing you of the importance of the Psychoanalytic Consortium and the Accreditation Council on Psychoanalytic Education (ACPEinc), which was launched through the Consortium.

The Consortium developed over twenty years ago and is comprised of the four major US psychoanalytic organizations: the American Psychoanalytic Association [APsaA]; the American Academy of Dynamic Psychiatry and Psychotherapy (AAPDP); the American Psychological Association, Division 39; and our organization (AAPCSW). The original purpose in forming this group was to collaborate on our common goals and interests pertaining to the practice of psychoanalysis, including training, teaching, supervision, and research.

In the early years of the Consortium, the first major undertaking was to develop a set of standards to be applied to training programs that were offering advanced education and specialization in psychoanalysis. While there were shared goals among the different organizations, there was a good deal of contention between its members prior to developing a set of standards that was acceptable to the members of the Consortium and their respective organizations. Despite these early struggles, the representatives of the AAPCSW, known then as NMCOP, prevailed in having our voices heard and making contributions to the process that resulted in a set of standards for psychoanalytic practice that allowed for some variability in the requirements.

Since then, significant work has been accomplished and the group has transformed into one that is cohesive and more harmonious. Setting new goals is in process, including considering a public information campaign. This will be the subject of a future column.

Now let’s return to the early work of the Consortium. In 2001 the Accreditation Council on Psychoanalytic Education (ACPEinc) was founded to implement the new standards set by the Consortium. The ACPEinc functions separately from the Consortium and serves as the accrediting agency that reviews and approves training programs that meet the Consortium standards. It became apparent that in order for the ACPEinc to be more widely recognized as having merit for training programs it needs to obtain the approval of the US Department of Education (DoE). This is a complicated process and both the Consortium and the ACPEinc are working to obtain this approval.

Continued on page 24
Our 2013 conference, titled “Under One Tent: Psychoanalytic Insights, Identities, and Inclusions,” is fast approaching. Please save those dates—March 14–17—and join us in Durham, North Carolina. Penny Rosen, conference chair and president-elect, has given us an update on the conference (see page 3) and we have included the program in this issue of the Newsletter (see pages 17–21).

The Newsletter welcomes readers’ letters, articles, and opinions on topics of the day and clinical issues; book reviews; notices of or reports on conferences; and news of interest to our membership. We encourage social workers with an interest in writing to use the Newsletter as a vehicle for converting their interest into the writing process.


American Association for Psychoanalysis in Clinical Social Work
AAPCSW

under one tent
psychoanalytic insights, identities, and inclusions

March 14–17, 2013
Durham Convention Center / Marriott Durham City Center
Durham, North Carolina

See pages 17–21 . . .
A Report on the 2013 Conference

The centerfold of this issue contains the program for “Under One Tent: Psychoanalytic Insights, Identities, and Inclusions.”

Since your attention may be drawn to different aspects of the conference, I would like to report on a few features. First, we have expanded our plenary speakers to include Mark Smaller, PhD. The previous issue of the Newsletter described the plenary speakers Jane Flax, Mary Gail Frawley-O’Dea, Jonathan Lear, and Ed Tronick and their presentations. We have now expanded our last plenary session, on Sunday, March 17, and titled it “Trauma the World Over and the Evolving Psychoanalytic Approach,” with Mark Smaller as a panelist, with Mary Gail Frawley-O’Dea. Smaller’s plenary presentation is titled “A ‘Forward Edge’ Approach to Trauma: From the Couch to the Community and Back.” Smaller is president-elect of the American Psychoanalytic Association and founding director of Project Realize at the Morton Alternative School in Chicago and Southwest Michigan. He is the former director of the Neuropsychoanalysis Foundation. His PhD and AM degrees are from the School of Social Service Administration of the University of Chicago. He also holds a certificate in psychoanalysis from the Chicago Institute for Psychoanalysis. He’s on the faculty of the Chicago Institute for Psychoanalysis and the Institute for Clinical Social Work, and in private practice in Chicago.

Other programmatic highlights include:
- Original presentations by Joyce Edward, Crayton E. Rowe, Ellen Ruderman, Diana Siskind, and Patsy Turini.
- Papers on the theme of trauma and loss (by Jerrold Brandell, Sharon Farber, Sheila Felberbaum, Harold Kudler, Faye Mishna, Peter Perault, Shoshana Ringel, David Smith, and others).
- Panels and papers that address diversity, for example, race, gender, sexual orientation, ethnicity, class, age, religion, and the hearing and/or visually disabled (by Kathryn Basham, William Meyer, Arlene Kramer Richards, Lucille Spira, Carolyn Stevenson, and others).
- Presentations on populations on the fringe, for example, incarcerated men, the chronically mentally ill, and the homeless (by Joan Berzoff, Joel Kanter, Stuart Perlman, and others).
- A panel on the influence of capitalist ideology in the clinical setting (by Judith Aronson, Jennifer Tolleson, and others).
- A panel on cross-cultural practice (by Janice Berry-Edwards, Judith Rosenberger, Cathy Siebold, and Elise Snyder).
- Presentations on psychoanalytic practice, for example, integrative approaches, Lacanian, and Relational psychoanalysis (by Diane Barth, Heather Craige, Sally Comer Fine, Karen Redding, Alan Stern, Barbara Tholfsen, and others).
- Personal papers about the analyst’s identity as shaped by class, growing old, and contradictions in the practice and the personal (by Elizabeth Corpt, Renee Goldman, and Theresa Aiello).
- Panels on working with children and adolescents (by Denia Barrett, Jerry Longhofer, Erika Schmidt, Anne Segall, Susan Sherman, Wendy Winograd, and others).
- Panels and papers on research (by Jerry Floersch, Carol Tosone, and others).

The list goes on, and with so many outstanding papers, it will be difficult to select breakout sessions you may want to attend.

Also noteworthy are the two winners of the Student/Candidate Call for Papers submissions. Raine Gifford will be awarded First Prize for her paper “Finding Betsy in Dreams: The Role of Daydreams, Reveries, and Non-verbal Imagery in Fostering Understanding and Growth in the Therapeutic Relationship.” Gifford is a candidate at the Psychoanalytic Psychotherapy Study Center in New York City. Yael Kadish is the Second Prize winner for her paper “The Role of Culture in Eating Disorders: A Particular Psychoanalytic Perspective.” Kadish is a PhD candidate at the University of the Witwatersrand and a candidate at the South African Psychoanalytic Initiative in Johannesburg, South Africa. Both winners will present their papers at the conference. The awards will be presented by Susan Sherman and Diana Siskind during Saturday’s Luncheon.

Another international presenter is Lynn Frogett, coeditor of the Journal of Social Work Practice, who will...
The Social Justice column arises from the passions of some of our committee members and the larger AAPCSW membership. The Committee on Social Responsibility and Social Justice is hoping that the column can ultimately be dialogic and conversational, that is, that it will encourage a reflective back and forth within the organization. Toward that end, we invite and encourage submissions of articles relevant to the committee’s mission (below), as well as responses to articles that have been printed. Please contact Jennifer Tolleson, chair, if you are interested in joining us, or with any submissions or ideas (jentolleson@comcast.net).

Next issue: Allan Scholom, PhD, “Managed Care’s Assult on Our Hearts and Minds”

The AAPCSW Committee on Social Responsibility and Social Justice, formed in 2007, is a national committee of social workers, psychoanalysts, and allied professionals who are concerned with integrating a human rights and global justice discourse with clinical practice. Toward this end, we work to promote critical social-political awareness among clinicians, to conceptualize psychoanalytic clinical practice within a broader social-political context, and to expand the usefulness and availability of psychoanalytic clinical services for all people.

The Business of Helping
C. Mark Massullo, MSW

All that I meant was this. I recognize the fact that the patient’s perception of things that are not consciously communicated by the analyst may be very important.


Early in 2011 I received a message on my office voicemail. The caller said something like, You come highly recommended as a therapist and I’m wondering if you’re accepting new patients at this time. When I phoned back, the person who’d called identified herself as an employee of Psychology Today and explained she’d contacted me to ask if I’d be interested in advertising in their online therapist referral database. Reservations over her marketing strategy notwithstanding, I listened to what she had to say. She described at length the benefits for therapists having a presence on the Internet and concluded by offering free service for a limited time, after which there would be a nominal monthly fee. Setting aside for a moment my unexpressed doubts, I agreed to the terms. That phone call, like a tap on the shoulder, got my attention and led me to consider possible clinical implications associated with the commodification of psychotherapy.

We’re not taught how to market ourselves, how to self-promote, how and what to charge for what we do. It’s been my experience private practitioners frequently turn to obfuscating when asked by others in the field about their practices; even close colleagues exert their rights to be vague and obtuse regarding all sorts of things, including referral sources, fees charged, the number of patient hours worked per week, and so on. We seem forthcoming, by contrast, when discussing skill, how well we do what we do and our uncertainties over the same. It’s a complex vocation, being a psychoanalytic practitioner. Sometimes we compete with one another in consultation groups to demonstrate who’s the most astute. At times we might show off for our patients, rushing to provide more mean-
ingfully accurate interpretations for their experience than we imagine they may be capable of. We may sit back and watch and/or seek to be heard at conferences, in classrooms, on listservs. We anticipate affirming and opposing responses when we write essays. The manners in which we choose to market ourselves add dimensions both to our observable and less obvious idiosyncrasies and complexities.

After agreeing to advertise, I was so at odds with my decision that I did nothing, except to analyze. For example, I struggled with reconciling my willingness to participate in an endeavor that began with a voicemail message intended to give the impression I was being contacted by a possible prospective patient, not a telemarketer. The integrity of the process felt compromised from the start. And, having only had experience with word of mouth referrals, I struggled with making peace over seeking to acquire patients vis-à-vis the content of a remote advertisement. Weekly, for months, while I grappled to sort things out in my mind, I received e-mails from the website advising me I hadn't provided any information about myself and that a fully developed profile would be one of interest to prospective patients. I continued my grappling while my profile remained a skeletal image consisting of nothing more than identifying information filled in by Psychology Today.

Offering unsolicited assistance, the persistent, albeit encouraging, e-mails suggested I peruse other profiles on the site for ideas. I did that and I linked to websites of individual therapists and became increasingly conflicted and confused. I was, in two words, stunned and perplexed over some of the content provided and sanctioned by clinicians avowing roots in psychoanalytic orientations. Contemplating the concept of vying publically in the open market against other practitioners for business ignited in me an unwelcome sense I’d entered a competitive arena with undefined, unclear rules. That lack of clarity did not, however, hinder my own competitive rumblings from mounting to advocate that I see the challenge through to completion to advocate that I see the challenge through to completion.

Based on what I saw, my only option was to conclude the online market economy for mental health services persists as an unstructured free-for-all, itself lacking a frame and self-regulation. If I advertise about my style as a clinician, about my philosophy of practice, about my training, about how psychotherapy is supposed to work, about my fees, about policies and procedures of my practice, post pictures of myself and of my office, and so on, what am I seeking to accomplish and what am I promising? Might we agree the process of self-promotion is not wholly rational? I imagine we’d be willing to take that leap by agreeing concurrently there’s a bulky unconscious piece of it awaiting recognition. Regardless of how well thought out the messages we’d like to convey, what gets communicated isn’t necessarily perceived as intended. I promise, that’s not lost on me as I compose this essay.

When we’re feeling pulled to construct comprehensive professional narratives complete with links, and pages of explanations, maybe a video, perhaps a few forms or contracts to be read, filled out, and signed before the first session, might a brief pause be useful for the purpose of gaining greater awareness of the source(s) of the pull? To deconstruct a little or a lot the engine driving the motives? Would it not behoove us to acknowledge that defenses are busy trying to help us win when we compete? Defenses functioning as intended are not inherently problematic; they’re just doing their jobs. Dilemmas arise, for instance, when our defenses obscure what we value as practitioners who understand our work and ourselves by way of psychoanalytic constructs and principles.

We seem more interested at times in developing clever ways of marketing ourselves than we do in the work itself. We’re hiring information technologists and marketing professionals to create sleek, elaborate websites and other luring advertising materials. We’re consulting with the computer guy, urging him to do his magic so our names appear at the top of online search results for, say, therapists in your city who treat depression. That seems to me an approximation of someone shouting, Choose! Me! Please! Those attuned to the subtextual might hear that cheer as a cue to flee rather than as a welcoming invitation. Herein lies a question. How do we operate practices in our free enterprise system while remaining true to our professional selves, while conveying professional gravitas, while honoring the guiding principle of doing no harm? We
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begin by challenging our own defenses, keeping in mind that those seeking therapy are vulnerable and suggestible; we make deliberate efforts not to hone in on the vulnerabilities of those in distress.

When individuals search for psychotherapists, what are they looking for, really? I have more questions in response to that question. This is meant to be a Socratic exercise. Have we succeeded in convincing ourselves that those earnestly seeking a meaningful therapy will be drawn toward our exaggerated defensive displays online or elsewhere? Possibly. Otherwise, would we not summon our observing egos to act as mediating liaisons between our unchecked defensive motives and those consciously derived? Many social workers in clinical practice identify themselves as being psychoanalytically or psychodynamically oriented. With theorist-specific alliance no longer demanded, it’s not unreasonable or unacceptable at this time for a practitioner to conceptualize the work inclusive of elements from more than one psychoanalytic theory. A prodigious chasm exists, though, separating pluralism and eclecticism. Claiming an analytic orientation while offering other methods of therapy seems both disingenuous and downright confusing. In our marketing we note our advanced analytic training, then we don't make use of it to inform our work. We market as though we're prepared to do something, take an action of sorts. Either we have faith in the talking cure or we don't. Either we are deferential to the unconscious or we are not. It's duplicitous to uphold the psychoanalytic premise that individuals for better or worse adapt to their circumstances while simultaneously asserting that an individual's behavior and thoughts are maladaptive and in need of directive correction. How do we represent as engaging steadfastly in analytically informed work while promising a patient we’ll custom tailor the therapy to dovetail with his concerns? We don’t. Upon making that promise in our marketing or in our offices we’ve rejected the analytic and have embraced a hybridized clinical position.

Our determination to transform into a patient everyone crossing the thresholds of our offices or browsing our websites has stretched the analytic frame so broadly it’s been distorted into an amorphous catchall. And in this catchall, the therapeutic encounter takes on the quality of a dubious concoction. We become clinical alchemists, combining elements of guided, directive therapies along with the weight of homework and book titles, with our goals set on producing desired outcomes. Are our prevailing doubts over the efficacy of a psychoanalytic therapy impelling us to employ tasks in our work? In the analytic clinical situation the solitary task for the patient is to say whatever's on his mind. He’s required to do nothing else. What about our tasks as therapists? Do we help an individual with his orientation to becoming an analytic patient by, for example, linking for him the paradoxical relationship between his feeling worse and our helpfulness? Or do we send conflicting coded messages buried in attempts to rescue the patient and ourselves from the discomforting abstract anxieties pervaded by the psychoanalytic psychotherapeutic process, circumventing the authoritative demands of the latent by assigning homework and reading lists and introducing DBT, for example? Seeming to dismiss the principal role of trauma in the development of all psychoanalytic theory, we’re now recommending EMDR for trauma resolution. Then, we provide it to increase practice incomes. It’s not feasible for a practitioner to cycle credibly or proficiently in and out of diametrically discrepant clinical tracks. What happened to being grounded in paradigm instead of in whim and caprice, instead of in competing therapeutic applications? The commodification of psychotherapy happened. We’ll do whatever is going to make us the most money, whatever is going to keep patients coming back. We’ll do whatever is necessary, including misrepresenting ourselves and misleading our patients, to fill our hours.

The business of helping happened. Business is the process by which we become willing to bypass the careful, thoughtful construction of the therapeutic frame by elaborating voluminously on it in our marketing. Some of what I read online appeared to be modeled after the infomercial, complete with blatant attempts at seduction. For instance, we tell people on our websites why they’re coming to see us—they’re feeling stuck or unsatisfied or unfulfilled or some vague something.

See The Business of Helping on page 30
Paul Robinson’s *The Freudian Left*

Roger Lee, LICSW

I came across *The Freudian Left*, by Paul Robinson, in a secondhand bookstore several years ago and was drawn to it. I had grown up in the 1950s and 1960s and had read a few books by Marcuse and a smattering of Reich.

I also encountered Reich again in one of the first psychotherapy books I read—*Gestalt Therapy* (Goodman, Hefferline, and Perls, 1951)—which built on Reich and Freud. *Gestalt Therapy* also had a somewhat Marxian analysis of the social world in which humans are arguably embedded, and thus placed psychotherapy in historical and social contexts.

Robinson, by the way, was a professor of history at Stanford University who wrote the first edition of this book in 1969 as the New Left was splintering into Trotskyist, Stalinist, and urban guerilla warfare sects.

The overarching question implicitly raised by this book is, Marx or Freud?

According to most of the Freudian radicals written about by Robinson, the answer is Marx and Freud!

The Freudian radicals discussed in the book are Wilhelm Reich, Geza Roheim, and Herbert Marcuse. Robinson calls them “radicals” for several reasons. They advocated basic changes in economic, political and/or sexual structures. In doing so they arguably were continuing a dynamic started by Freud who shocked the early modern world with his theories of infantile sexuality and the unconscious roots of daily life.

Robinson believes the basic project for the Freudian Left was and is to integrate Marx and Freud, a project that should concern every psychoanalyst concerned about understanding the world of which we are a part.

One reads on the listserv the lament of private practitioners as they find themselves being thwarted by the larger economic powers that be, such as insurance companies and the Medicare/Medicaid bureaucracies. Agency workers who encounter increasing workloads, dangerous working conditions, and stagnant wages may be thinking about organizing a union. These phenomena might be seen as specific examples of Marx’s prediction that the learned professions and other small business owners would tend to be devoured by big capital and its governmental representatives and tend to fall into the working class (Marx 1988).

This is the Marx who perceived basic tendencies in capitalism toward bigger and bigger businesses, since bigger businesses are able to capture markets with the purchase of advanced technologies and economies of scale and governmental favors. This is the Marx who saw crisis and instability as the long-term effects of this concentration and centralization of capital (Marx 1952). This concentration and centralization increases the machines and automation used in production, which leads to layoffs and to overproduction of goods relative to what markets can effectively demand, leading to more layoffs that periodically cause bankruptcies and more layoffs spiraling into recessions and depressions. Especially relevant to our own times are the means employed by capital to reduce costs and increase profits, such as imperial projects to control resources including labor resources and increasing low-wage populations of immigrants and the unemployed and, for those who still have a job, increasing hours and output requirements or investing in low-wage countries where union organizers “disappear” and the pay is extra low and the hours are extra long.

Robinson’s Marx also can be viewed as an organizer who labored with others to create a movement to change the world—to transform a hierarchical privately owned economy (in which the majority do not own their own businesses and must work for those who do) into a socially owned, democratically managed economy (Marx 2010).

This socialist society would be an egalitarian, democratic society, with people able to vote not just once every two or four years but every day in the workplace with elected recallable managers. The work process as well...
as the surplus product could be managed democratically for the social wealth created by the economy in which we all labor (Ness and Azzelloni 2011).

Freud, in this book, represents taking a deep critical look at social (including family) repression (especially of sexuality and aggression) and the effects of repression on the person-in-environment.

Unfortunately Freud also, as Robinson discusses, reached conservative political conclusions as a result of a profound pessimism about human nature. He believed that repression was necessary to contain the Id forces of Eros and of Thanatos within the psyche seeking unlimited expression. If unchecked, these forces would unleash violence and sexual acting out on a scale that would preclude any civilized social life, including economic development or art or protection of the vulnerable or those in need.

There is a tension in Robinson's Freud between this conservative pessimism and the psychoanalytic drive to open up repression and the unconscious to critical inquiry and it is this critical activity that is picked up on by the radical Freudians, not the political conclusions born of pessimism. There is also a perhaps not-well-known history of Freud's personal involvement in social-democratic programs such as the free clinic movement, but that is discussed more in other books, such as Freud's Free Clinics by Elizabeth Danto.

In addition, there are some clues in Freud's own writings of a more radical political bent, such as this quote from The Future of an Illusion (1961, 15):

If however a culture has not got beyond a point at which the satisfaction of one portion of its participants depends upon the suppression of another, and perhaps larger portion—and this is the case in all present-day cultures—it is understandable that the suppressed people should develop an intense hostility towards a culture whose existence they make possible by their work, but in whose wealth they have too small a share.

It goes without saying that a civilization which leaves so large a number of its participants unsatisfied and drives them into revolt neither has nor deserves the prospect of a lasting existence.

There is more than a hint of Marx in these words by Freud that amount to a call for social revolution—to redress the grievances of the 99 percent who produce the social wealth that is taken by the 1 percent.

Reich is portrayed as initially being a very active “Freudo-Marxist,” organizing free clinics and making theoretical breakthrough into “character analysis” and others. Reich was an early force for integrating Freud and Marx theoretically. Nonetheless, according to Robinson these efforts eventually inspired Freud to oppose Reich's revolutionary optimism with Freud's writing of Civilization and Its Discontents and to engineer or order Reich's expulsion from the German and International Psychoanalytic Associations.

Robinson also looks at Reich's expulsion from the German Communist Party probably because of opposition to the conservative, repressive measures adopted in the USSR especially under Stalin. Reich's book The Mass Psychology of Fascism was denounced as “counter-revolutionary.”

Robinson thus identifies the social isolation that seems to have inspired Reich's retreat from politics and psychology into what he considered to be biology (but others saw as psychosis) and claimed to have discovered a cosmic “orgone energy” and developed a box to contain this energy to heal all ailments—both physical and mental.

Marcuse was one of the most popular of New Left heroes. I remember taking a philosophy class in 1969 or so that, to appeal to students then, assigned Marcuse's Eros and Civilization and Freud's Civilization and Its Discontents. Marcuse is depicted by Robinson as beginning his career as a Marxist philosopher affiliated with the Institute for Social Research. He was then an advocate for Hegel's critical and revolutionary method. He began to study Freud in approximately 1937, when the Spanish Civil War and the Moscow Trials convinced him that greater depth in understanding human behavior was needed to advance beyond the repression consolidated by Stalin.

His study of Freud culminated with his writing of Eros and Civilization, an attempted reconciliation of Freud and Marx. In this attempt, he developed the concept of “surplus repression”—repression required to maintain a society divided into an owning employing class and a working class, not the more modest repression needed to maintain a cooperative nonviolent society.

Marcuse's “performance principle” gives a Freudian slant to the Marxian concepts of “alienation” and “reification.” Workers are said to be alienated from the potentially creative labor process because of subordination to bosses and regimentation to the rules autocratically imposed by the rule of capital. Workers are also alienated from the environment because of unsustainable attempts to conquer Nature and exploit it mercilessly, and alienated from other humans due to competition and narcissistic hyperindividualism. “Reification” in this context refers
to making humans into things in the labor market and the assembly line and others. Clients may in part reflect these social phenomena when they express feelings of being dead or of extreme detachment or of being used like a thing.

Marcuse also built on some of Reich's ideas on the political-economic function of sexual repression whereby pleasure would endanger the discipline and regimentation necessary to the subordination of labor in the industrial stage of capitalist political economy. Later he was to write in more detail about what might be called the anti-thesis to this pleasure repression that he called "repressive de-sublimation" in the book *One Dimensional Man*.

Repressive de-sublimation is needed to stave off dangerous levels of discontent and/or to increase consumer demand in an economy that has a high degree of production capacity not being used due to lack of effective demand, which some economists, especially Marxist economists, see as characteristic of a stagnant, technologically advanced capitalism.

Marcuse is especially relevant to the contemporary clinician in his weaving together of the economic basis for all social life with the individual's subjectivity—not only how social structures generate and shape intrapsychic dynamics but building on Reich as to how intrapsychic dynamics maintain or inspire transformations in social structures.

My feeling is that Robinson instead of drawing out from the Freudian Left a synthesis of Freud and Marx has mostly emphasized Freud over Marx—sexual repression more than economic exploitation, cultural revolution more than working class self-emancipation through class struggle.

Freud himself seems to have been ambivalent about Marx. In Lecture 31 of the *Complete Introductory Lectures on Psychoanalysis* (1966) he states,

> It seems likely that what are known as materialistic views of history sin in underestimating this factor (of the superego). They brush it aside with the remark that human ideologies are nothing other than the product and superstructure of their contemporary economic conditions. That is true, but very probably not the whole truth . . .

Thus Freud states that historical materialism is true but sees it as only part of the total picture.

Also, while there is some social history in *The Freudian Left*, there is not much—it is basically three intellectual biographies with descriptions and analyses and reactions to the three sets of changing ideas and to the overlap and interactions among them. It is hardly consis-

---
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On September 29, 2012, the AAPCW held a compelling reading and discussion titled “A Suicide in the Therapist’s Family: Life Changing Lessons for Practicing and Teaching Psychotherapy.” Dr. Jerrold R. Brandell, a distinguished professor at Wayne State University School of Social Work, an accomplished lecturer, and a practicing child, adolescent, and adult psychotherapist, shared two deeply moving accounts, one clinical and one personal, of so-called therapeutic failures.

Brandell opened with the case of “Ben Daniels,” a twelve-year-old male whose mother referred him to psychotherapy following his father’s suicide. Since his father’s death, Ben had seen two male therapists and had terminated therapy prematurely in both cases. It was notable that Ben’s father had suffered from depression for many years and had rebuffed therapeutic intervention multiple times before he died. Brandell described the development of Ben’s case, emphasizing the recurring themes of betrayal and the fears about “stealing treasure” that dominated Ben’s interactions. Brandell spoke of the seemingly sudden shift in the therapeutic dynamic that occurred after several sessions of gradually building rapport and gaining Ben’s trust. Ben became increasingly defensive and distrustful towards Brandell, fearing that his therapist would “betray him” or “get into his head.” Ultimately, Ben discontinued the therapy, an action that Brandell speculated may have served to preserve his identification with his father who had previously rejected the same kind of therapeutic help.

Brandell’s account of his short work with Ben included meticulous attention to detail, insightful analysis about Ben’s traumatically interrupted relationship with his father, and curiosity about possible transference and countertransference themes. Despite his skilled work with this young boy, Brandell categorized Ben’s case as a therapeutic failure, giving rise to questions of whether or not Ben’s therapy was doomed to fail from the start. Was Ben bound to be wary of abandonment and betrayal by any adult male at this juncture in his life? Would he have been more receptive to a female therapist? More startling, Brandell raised the question of if, therapist characteristics and analytic technique aside, it is possible that some patients are “beyond help.”

From Ben’s case Brandell moved into the second half of his paper, a far more personal account, the case of his cousin, who struggled with depression and ultimately died by suicide. Brandell described periods of time in which he felt hopeful, even times when he saw glimmers of his cousin shining through the malignant depression, but he also described his unsettling understanding of his cousin’s ongoing battle. Brandell discussed his desire to facilitate a successful treatment experience, paired with his fear of interfering in his cousin’s therapeutic process with a clinician Brandell respected but with whom he at times disagreed.

As Brandell read his paper, the emotionally charged topic clearly struck personal chords with many members of the audience. Brandell then turned his attention to the impact his personal tragedy has had on his professional practice. Brandell shared that after his cousin’s death he reviewed again and again the final conversation they had had, disconcerted by the fact that it contained none of the “textbook” characteristics of a final goodbye. He spoke of the desire to make sense of the past by analyzing it, fashioning a story about it, and somehow mastering it through understanding. Brandell went on to recognize that psychoanalysis, for all its strengths and its richness, is a “post-dictive science.” It is far easier to create a story about the past than it is to predict what will happen in the future.

Brandell talked about his disillusionment with clinical practice following his cousin’s death. Brandell was struck with the understanding that some patients don’t get better, and a person’s psychological world is often unknowable and unpredictable. Brandell added, lightheartedly, that this disenchantment with therapy operated as a sort of corrective measure against the idealism of his early years in practice. Brandell closed his talk with a humble recognition of the limitations of both the craft and of human knowledge.
Discussant Dr. Margaret Arnd-Caddigan, associate professor of social work at East Carolina University, associate faculty member at Psychoanalytic Education of the Carolinas, and private practitioner, responded to Brandell’s paper by exploring the concept of uncertainty in psychoanalytic practice. Perhaps most memorable, Arnd-Caddigan described an interview with a student in which she was asked to discuss the causes of depression. Arnd-Caddigan responded to the student’s question, stating, “Anvils fall from the sky.” Arnd-Caddigan’s response at first elicited a laugh from the audience, but the pregnant silence that followed revealed that the unsettling truth of her statement resonated with those in attendance. Arnd-Caddigan spoke of the dangers of scientism, which she defined as “a strategy to live in a world that makes sense, that is safe,” observing that frequently when a clinician thinks that he or she “knows,” the clinician closes him or herself off to alternative possibilities. Arnd-Caddigan remarked about the particular dangers scientism poses when it is employed to make policy decisions, and repeatedly reminded the audience of her conviction that “knowledge is provisional.” Arnd-Caddigan closed by talking about the psychotherapist’s challenge in a world in which “anvils fall from the sky” and urged the audience to consider that the clinical impulse toward quick, decisive action may in fact be a “phobia of uncertainty.”

The second discussant, Emery Gross, LCSW, BCD, is a faculty, training, and supervising analyst at the NY Institute for Psychoanalytic Self Psychology and the Harlem Family Institute, a founding member of the American Board of Examiners in Clinical Social Work, and coordinator of the Committee of the Association for Psychoanalytic Self Psychology, while also maintaining a private practice and offering consultation to other psychoanalysts. Gross took a topographical approach to the topic at hand, describing the depth of consciousness within the nature of theory. Gross distinguished between what he called the “professional approach,” which he described as disciplined, structured, and at times confined by theory, and “lived experience,” which he noted can be unpredictable and self-exposing and often leads to unformulated theories. Gross presented the challenge of the therapist in meeting his or her own need for a sense of agency, explaining that psychotherapists must reconcile the cognitive dissonance between acknowledging the failures produced by strict reliance on theory and the desperate need for the comfort and structure provided by maintaining faith in theory.

As a second-year MSW student at UNC–Chapel Hill, I found this reading and discussion to be one of the most gripping, thought- (and emotion-) provoking, and courageous introductions to the world of psychotherapy that I have encountered. I was moved by Brandell’s vulnerability and honesty. The image, both humorous and tragic, of “anvils falling from the sky” has dominated my thoughts since I left the lecture Saturday morning. I am a very newly minted clinician with a remarkably loose understanding of my role, my efficacy, and my skill-set, and I was moved to hear seasoned clinicians describing the terror they feel when confronting their own idealism and their own panic surrounding the uncertainty of treatment, recovery, and the questions that arise about what constitutes a therapeutic “success.” One member of the audience very honestly offered that he is no longer sure what, in a therapeutic sense, it means “to get better.” He wondered aloud if in some ways suicide can be both tragic and triumphant, as the person has found a way to “get away from the anvils.” The audience paused to consider this question, and after a few moments Bill Meyer, MSW, BCD, offered the audience a suggestion that is hopeful while also being realistic. Meyer proposed that to “get better” is to experience some alleviation of suffering provided by the fact that two people can bear pain better than can one person alone.

More startling, Brandell raised the question of if, therapist characteristics and analytic technique aside, it is possible that some patients are “beyond help.”
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Visit www.aapcsw.org, go to Books & Journals, and click on the Online Bookstore link to shop at our bookstore at Mental Health Resources (MHR).

AAPCSW Member Journal Discounts (Journal prices vary for institutional subscriptions)

From Taylor & Francis:
Psychoanalytic Social Work • $16 for two issues/year (reg. $103)
Smith College Studies in Social Work • $24 for four issues/year (reg. $76)
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From Sheridan Press:
Psychoanalytic Quarterly • $83 for four issues/year (reg. $92.50)
To order, fill out form below. Please enclose a check made out to Psychoanalytic Quarterly or provide credit card information and signature. Mail to Psychoanalytic Quarterly, P.O. Box 465, Hanover, PA 17331. Or call Matthew Baile at 800.617.1932, or e-mail psaq@tsp.sheridan.com. Include discount code AAP 05.

From Sage Publications:
Journal of the American Psychoanalytic Association (2010) • $121 for six issues/year (reg. $148)
To order, fill out form below. Please enclose a check made out to Sage Publications or provide credit card information and signature. Mail to: Sage Publications, Inc., c/o Customer Services, 2455 Teller Road, Thousand Oaks, CA 91320. Or, call 800.818.SAGE or 805.499.0721, or e-mail journals@sagepub.com. Include discount code AAP 06.
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Join us for an Open Class, where you can meet with faculty and students, get a more in-depth description of our programs, and experience a class firsthand. If you’d like to learn more about ICSW or reserve a seat at an Open Class, visit us online at www.icsw.edu/learnmore or call (312) 935-4234.

ON-SITE OPEN CLASSES
Sat, February 11 at 9 am cst
Sat, March 10 at 9 am cst

ONLINE OPEN CLASSES
Wed, February 8 at 7 pm cst
Wed, March 7 at 7 pm cst
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Write or e-mail:
Ashley Warner, MSW, BCD
Assistant Newsletter Editor
85 Fifth Avenue, Suite 934
New York, NY 10003
awarnerlcs@gmail.com

New Professionals Mentorship Program

Seasoned professionals (eight or more years post-license) working with new professionals, giving guidance and direction to help with career development and career enhancement.

Contact Sally Fine 402.330.4014
Record Keeping in Psychotherapy and Counseling—Protecting Confidentiality and the Professional Relationship (2nd ed.)
by Ellen T. Luepker; Routledge—Taylor & Francis Group, 2012; 306 pages; $39.95
Reviewed by Inge Oppenheimer, LCSW, BCD

There was a time when psychotherapy consisted of sessions in the consulting room, followed by brief handwritten notes documenting progress and any new issues. In those “good old days” the entire treatment process, including accumulated information about the treatment, involved solely the therapist and client, and, where indicated, collateral contacts such as family or professional consultants. There was no concern about the need to share patient information with anybody else. There were no legal concerns other than appropriate credentialing of the therapist, and not even that in some states.

But things have changed.
Now we have to address “managed care,” entailing sharing of information about the patient with insurance companies, said information often being reviewed by nonclinical personnel. And we have a plethora of legal requirements to ensure protection of the patient’s privacy and the confidentiality of the patient’s information. The potential conflict of interest between these two requirements alone is obvious.

There is also the increasing tendency these days to turn to the courts to address conflicts with commercial establishments or providers, including conflicts with treatment providers.

Thus record-keeping has taken on a new and important legal dimension, in addition to being an aid in tracking the progress of treatment.

Ellen T. Luepker’s Record Keeping in Psychotherapy and Counseling—Protecting Confidentiality and the Professional Relationship, second edition, provides guidelines for the many concerns raised in this new climate in which psychotherapy operates. This book deserves a place next to the DSM on every therapist’s reference shelf—the shelves of therapists of other treatment professions as well as those of social work clinicians.

It goes without saying that a book of this nature is not a page-turner, and I would not recommend it for relaxed downtime reading, except perhaps for an initial perusal. There are interesting case examples, and much of the text is written in easy-to-read conversational language. However this book is basically a 306-page, tightly packaged reference tome. The information is detailed and covers every area for which appropriate and adequate record-keeping is essential. In addition, it contains treatment protocols that to many old-timers will appear revolutionary; for example, having records actually read by patients and integrated openly into the treatment process.

In addition to being a comprehensive reference for all aspects of record-keeping, Dr. Luepker’s book is an invaluable aid in structuring treatment itself. The concepts of patient autonomy and clinician openness about the structure and process of treatment as essential to good therapy permeate the book’s chapters. For example, the various areas noted to require documentation of informed consent stress the ongoing sharing with the patient of changes in diagnosis and clinical reasons for same, including clients having access to their written records. The importance of wording content with sensitivity and forethought to its use in direct therapeutic interaction cannot be overstated.

Always with record-keeping as context, this work offers a richness of guidance and information in every area of treatment, as indicated in this sampling of chapter headings: “Documenting Informed Consent”; “Confidentiality in an Electronic Age”; “Exceptions to Confidentiality”; “Developing Policies and Procedures for Protecting Confidentiality and Managing its Exceptions in an Electronic Era”; “Boundary Challenges”—See Record Keeping on page 16
Impact of Electronic Communication on the Therapeutic Relationship; Using Patient Records as Therapeutic Tools; and Therapists and Records in the Legal System.

Included with the book itself is a DVD containing sample forms for the various record-keeping and other documents discussed throughout the book. These also appear in the appendices at the end of the book.

Themes that permeate the book’s chapters include the following (in addition to the kinds of information required for records prepared for different purposes, as well as information which should not be included):

- Active engagement of clients in the record-keeping process as a whole, including obtaining written informed consent for every feature of treatment with careful and detailed documentation of same.
- Open discussion with clients about their diagnoses and treatment options on a continuing basis.
- The importance of familiarity with privacy and confidentiality regulations in one’s state, as well as with professional ethics.
- The all-important being “where the patient is” when discussing the patient’s condition and treatment with the patient.

There is a plethora of legal information—again presented in the context of appropriate record-keeping. This information is of direct importance not only to the treatment itself, but also to the client’s well-being outside the consulting room. An example: If a client discloses details about a conversation with his or her lawyer, the recording of these details in the client’s treatment records risks violation of attorney-client privileged communication, effectively eliminating the client’s privilege should those records ever be required in a legal proceeding. Such discussion should only be recorded in a general way—to the effect that the client reported contact with his or her attorney—without including details. This piece of information was a big eye-opener for me!

A particularly relevant section for this day and age is found in chapter 7, “Boundary Changes—Impact of Electronic Communication on the Therapeutic Relationship.” The risk of entrapment by the Internet is almost inescapable. Google-type search engines contain references one would never expect to be available to the public. There are myriad ways in which therapists’ private lives can be laid open, social networking sites such as Facebook being only one example.

Fierce Joy: A Memoir
by Ellen Schecter; Greenpoint Press, 2012; 256 pages; $20.
Reviewed by Hank Blumfarb, LCSW, and Robin Halpern, LCSW, DCSW

If as a clinician you are looking for a book that attempts to model the actions of mentalization and mindfulness as well as the splitting of consciousness/ the witnessing-mind, while under chronic duress, this is a book to consider. Reflecting on what it’s like to experience a serious chronic and debilitating medical condition, Schecter describes both her intrapsychic and interpersonal process throughout her journey.

The style is an imaginative one, with telltale signs of a children’s book writer, and thus the style in which it is written will probably not threaten the reader’s defenses. The tools for coping must be inferred.

This memoir is a useful introduction written for someone who is struggling with serious chronic medical conditions or for those who know someone with a chronic illness.

Hank Blumfarb, LCSW, and Robin Halpern, LCSW, DCSW, are both in private practice in New York, NY.

I suggest that readers Google their own names to see what emerges. I have found my product evaluations at Amazon.com and Consumeraffairs.com and my letters printed in the New York Times listed. One does not even have to have done anything online oneself to be listed; for example, one’s name as a reference in a published work by another author will do the trick.

While such revelations can be used productively in sessions, it is essential that therapists be alert to their possible appearance, and the chapter provides a rich discussion about different kinds of electronics in use currently, their risks and challenges for the treatment relationship, and ways practitioners can respond to these risks, based on important principals underlying treatment. A big risk with reference to e-mail communication between therapist and patient, by the way, is the fact that such e-mails constitute “a record, visible into perpetuity to unknown others” (120).

Interestingly, Dr. Luepker was herself trapped by the availability online of her first edition of this book, and a
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Durham Convention Center / Marriott Durham City Center
Durham, North Carolina

Psychoanalysis has had a sometimes painful history of splintering into disparate, exclusive groups. Some voices have been muted, while others have been privileged. Contemporary psychoanalytic theories and techniques pull from many sources and encourage multiple orientations. What is gained and what is lost by our efforts at integration or separateness? Is there still space for debate and dissent? In addition, how do issues of identity, race, gender, sexual orientation, and social class inform and challenge theory and practice? In an effort to deepen our understanding and enrich our practice, this conference seeks to facilitate dialogue among clinicians and scholars from a broad spectrum of the psychoanalytic community.

See the following pages for program schedule, events, and registration form. Visit www.aapcsw.org for a complete listing of the session descriptions, participant bios, hotel information, and online registration.

We look forward to seeing you in Durham in March!
Thursday, March 14

5:00–8:00 pm  •  Tour
Meet at the Marriott at 4:45, sharp!
Amazing Taste Carolina Gourmet Food Tours’ walking tour of Durham! Delectable food/drink, as you discover the history of “America’s Foodiest Small Town.”
Register in advance. List dietary restrictions/food allergies with registration. (Wear comfortable clothes/shoes for 2-mile walk.)

8:00–10:00 pm  •  Opening Reception
(and conclusion of the tour)
Tyler’s Restaurant and Tap Room, 324 Blackwell Street, in the American Tobacco Historic District. (For all conference participants, including anyone not on the tour. Cash bar.)

Friday, March 15

7:30–9:00 am  •  Registration/Breakfast

9:00–10:30 am
1.  PLENARY
Welcoming Remarks: Penny Rosen, MSW
Opening Remarks: Karen Baker, MSW

The Ethics of the Psychoanalytic Situation
Jonathan Lear, PhD
Cathy Siebold, DSW, MSW, Moderator

10:45 am–12:15 pm
2.  Under One Tent—Really?
Mindfulness, EMDR, CBT, and Psychoanalytic Treatments
Sally Davis Comer, PhD, MSW, Chair
Heather Craige, MSW
Alan Stern, PhD
Steven D. Bennett, PhD, Moderator

3.  Can You Hear Me? Can You See Me?
Can You Understand Me?
Psychodynamically-Oriented Social Care in Clinical Work with Clients with Disabilities
Joan Berzoff, EdD, MSW, Chair
Carol B. Cohen, PhD, MSW
Joel Kanter, MSW
Cathy Orzolek-Kronner, PhD, MSW

6.  A Psychoanalytic Conceptualization of Psychological Trauma
Harold Kudler, MD
John Chiaramonte, MSW, Moderator

7.  Successful Writing for Professional Publication: Conversations with Journal Editors
Kathryn Basham, PhD, MSW
Jerry Brandell, PhD, MSW
Lynn Froggett, MA, Dip ASW
Carol Tosone, PhD, MS, Chair

12:15–1:30 pm  •  Lunch
On your own or General Membership Meeting of AAPCSW

1:30–3:00 pm
8.  PLENARY
Meaning Making as an Integrative Concept
Ed Tronick, PhD
Susan Bokor Nadas, MSW, Moderator

3:30–5:30 pm
9.  Sophie’s Dilemma: Relational Trauma and Adolescent Suicide
Anne Segall, MSW
Sally Fine, MSW, Moderator

10. Venice Beach Regulars: The Courage of the Homeless
Stuart D. Perlmutter, PhD
Samoa Barish, PhD, DSW, MSW, Moderator

3:30–5:30 pm

11. The Role of Culture in Eating Disorders: A Particular Psychoanalytic Perspective
Yael Kadish, PhD
Anne Marie Dooley, MSW, Discussant
Ashley Warner, MSW, Moderator

12. The Dynamics of Bullying—And How Psychoanalytic Understanding Changed a School
David Smith, MD
Renee Prillaman, PhD
John Heffernan, MA
Harold Kudler, MD, Discussant
Peter Perault, MD, Moderator

13. Money and Mayhem: The Clinical Hour and The Commodification of Helping
AAPCSW Committee on Social Justice:
Judith Aronson, PhD
C. Mark Massullo, MSW
Brenda Solomon, PhD, MSW
Jennifer Tolleson, PhD, LCSW, Chair

A Multi-Orientation Psychoanalytic Training Model
Judy Levitz, PhD, Chair
Leslie Cardell, MSW
Paul Hays, MSW
Michael Jenkins, MSW
Renee Obstfeld, LCAT

15. Can We Talk? Relational and Lacanian Psychoanalysis on the Brink of a Conversation
Barbara Tholfsen, MS, Chair
Daniel Buccino, MSW
Teresa Méndez, MSW
Avgi Saketopoulou, PsyD
Jamieson Webster, PhD

Saturday, March 16

7:45–9:00 am  •  Breakfast

9:00–10:30 am
16. PLENARY
The Political Unconscious: What Psychoanalysis Can Contribute to our Understanding of American Politics
Jane Flax, PhD
Samoa Barish, PhD, DSW, MSW, Moderator
10:45 am–12:15 pm

17. Theory, Autobiography, and Paradigm Shifts
Theresa Aiello, PhD, MSW
Judith Rosenberger, PhD, MSW, Moderator

Under One Tent: Collecting Essentials and Avoiding Vital Throw-Outs
Patsy Turinini, MSW
Judith Rosenberger, PhD, MSW, Moderator

18. Shadows of Multiple Realities within Clinical Encounters: Intersections of Race, Gender, Sexual Orientation, Ethnicity, Class, Age, Religion, and Ability
AAPCSW Committee on Diversity and Otherness:
Kathryn Basham, PhD, MSW, Chair
Cathleen Morey, MSW
Mari-Anna Yuko Bergeron, MSW
Janice Berry Edwards, PhD, MSW, Moderator

19. Peasant in the Analyst’s Chair: Reflections, Personal and Otherwise, on Class and the Forming of an Analytic Identity
Elizabeth Corpt, MSW
Edward Kaufman, MD, MFA, Moderator

Psychoanalysis Later in Life: A Clinician’s Perspective as Analyst and Patient
Renee Goldman, MSW
Edward Kaufman, MD, MFA, Moderator

20. The Widening World of Trauma in Psychodynamic Practice: Contemporary Theoretical and Clinical Issues
Faye Mishna, PhD, MSW
Shoshana Ringel, PhD, MSW
Jerry Brandell, PhD, MSSW, Chair

Arlene Kramer Richards, EdD
Lucille Spira, PhD, MSW
Adriana Passini, MSW, Moderator

22. Adolescence: Developmental Issues in Gender and Sexuality
Jeffrey L. Longhofer, PhD, MSW
Wendy Winograd, MSW
Susan Sherman, DSW, MSW, Chair

23. Some Psychoanalytic Reflections on Friendship
Joyce Edward, MSSA
Richard Karpe, MSW, Moderator

The Role of a Visible/Visual Disability in the Clinical Dyad
Fanny Chalifin, MSW
Richard Karpe, MSW, Moderator

24. Falling Through the Cracks: Psychodynamically-Oriented Social Care with Homeless Women and Their Children, Pregnant and Parenting Teens, and Men in Prison
Joan Berzoff, EdD, MSW, Chair
William S. Meyer, MSW
Elizabeth Kita, MSW
Cara Segal, MSW

25. Mourning and Creativity: Finding the Write Words
Sheila Felberbaum, MSW
Jay C. Williams, PhD, MSW, Moderator

Integrating Practices of Psychoanalysis and Mindfulness: Becoming Mindful of What?
Karen Redding, PhD, MSW
Jay C. Williams, PhD, MSW, Moderator

26. Understanding the Undifferentiated Selfobject: A Redefinition of Symptoms and Disorders
Crayton E. Rowe Jr., MSW
Janet Burak, LCSW, Moderator

27. On Father-Son Love, Transference, and Repair
Peter Z. Perault, MD
Sylvia Teitelbaum, MSW, Moderator

Skin of Hate, Skin of Love
Peter Wood, MSW
Sylvia Teitelbaum, MSW, Moderator

28. The Problem with Pleasure
Janet Migdow, PhD, MA
Michele Rivette, MSW, Moderator

Living in Terror, Working with Trauma: New Orleans and Israeli Clinicians’ Perspectives on Shared Trauma
Carol Tosone, PhD, MSW
Michele Rivette, MSW, Moderator

29. Critical Illness in Older Adults: Selfobject Survival in Later Life
Liz B. Johnston, MSW
Deborah Bunim, PhD, MSW

Traversing the Middle-Distance: Perspectives on the Integration of Loss
Kerry Malawista, PhD, MSW
Deborah Bunim, PhD, MSW

4:00–5:30 pm

30. Words Matter: Getting It or Not
Deborah Field Washburn, MPHil
Molly Kiefer, MSW, Moderator

Waiting for Godot in the 21st Century—the ‘Lemming’ Effect: Freeing Apathy and Impassivity in Analytic Treatment
Ellen Ruderman, PhD, PsyD, MSW
Molly Kiefer, MSW, Moderator

31. Getting Connected: The Virtual Holding Environment
Sally Davis Comer, PhD, MSW, Chair
Andy Dunlap, PhD, MSW
Kari Fletcher, PhD, MSW
Margaret Arnd-Caddigan, PhD, LCSW, Moderator

32. Psychoanalysis and Symptoms: A Conundrum?
Robert E. Hooberman, PhD
David Stanislaw, MSW, Moderator

Rebuilding the Nest: Reworking Adolescent Development in Adult Addiction Recovery
Robert G. Whitman-Raymond, MSW
David Stanislaw, MSW, Moderator

33. Case Studies and Research: Opening a Conversation
Jerry Floresch, PhD, MSW, Chair
Jeffrey Longhofer, PhD, MSW
Jacob Suskewicz, MFA
Miriam Jaffe-Foger, PhD

34. Keeping a Partially Closed Mind: Unanalyzed Countertransference in Working with Couples and Families
Carl Bagnini, MSW
Velila Frost, MSW, Moderator

Recognizing Guilt: Therapeutic Ruptures with Parents of Children in Psychotherapy
Eliisa Baldwin, MSW
Velila Frost, MSW, Moderator

Full session descriptions, objectives, and participant bios online at www.aapcsw.org
35. Finding Betsy in Dreams: The Role of Daydreams, Reveries, and Non-verbal Imagery in Fostering Understanding and Growth in the Therapeutic Relationship  
Raine Gifford, MSW  
Susan Sherman, DSW, Discussant  
Helen Steinberg, MSW, Moderator

7:30–11:00 pm • Blue Jean Ball  
(Wine bar opens 6:15 pm)  
Durham Arts Council, 120 Morris Street  
(1 block from the Marriott)  
Dress in your favorite denim for a relaxed evening of camaraderie, BBQ buffet (vegetarian options), the jazz quartet of AAPCSW members John Chiaramonte and Jerry Brandell, and bluegrass music by the Kudzu Ramblers including Sid Comer of PECC on guitar.  
Separate registration required. Guests welcome.

Sunday, March 17

7:45–9:00 am • Breakfast

9:00–10:45 am

36. PLENARY  
Trauma the World Over and the Evolving Psychoanalytic Approach  
Judy Ann Kaplan, MSW, Moderator

Perversion of Power when Mourning Never Comes  
Mary Gail Frawley-O’Dea, PhD

A ’Forward Edge’ Approach to Trauma: From the Couch to the Community and Back  
Mark D. Smaller, PhD

11:00 am–12:30 pm

37. On Unrequited Love: Mastery, Submission, and Transcendence  
Shoshana Ringel, PhD, MSW  
Judy Byck, MSW, Moderator

Hungry for Ecstasy: Trauma, the Brain, and the Influence of the Sixties  
Sharon K. Farber, PhD, MSW  
Judy Byck, MSW, Moderator

38. Community-based Intervention for Vulnerable First-time Parents  
Janis Williams, MSW  
Karen O’Donnell, PhD  
Carole Dubber, MSW, Moderator

39. Just Enough Distance: Using Skype in the Treatment of a Borderline Patient  
Rebecca Sahm Mahayag, MSW  
Patricia Macnair, MSW, Moderator

Web-Based Supervisor Training: Real Relationships in Cyberspace  
Lynn Rosenfield, MSW  
Patricia Macnair, MSW, Moderator

40. “I Am Not Who I Thought I Was”: Use of Grief Work to Address Disrupted Identity among Hispanic Adolescent Immigrants  
Laura D. Miller, MSW  
Michael De Simone, PhD, MSW, Moderator

Will You Leave Me Too?: The Impact of Father Absence on the Treatment of a Ten Year-Old Girl  
David Strauss, MSW  
Michael De Simone, PhD, MSW, Moderator

41. LGBTQ Considerations in Psychotherapy  
Carolyn Stevenson, MSW, MDiv, Chair  
Morgan McClaren, PhD  
Louis Pansulla, MSW

42. Innovations in Practice: The China American Psychoanalytic Alliance (CAPA) Experience  
Janice Berry-Edwards, PhD, MSW  
Judith Rosenberger, PhD, MSW  
Cathy Siebold, DSW, MSW, Chair  
Elise Snyder, MD

Participants Include:


Mary Gail Frawley-O’Dea, PhD  Co-director, Presbyterian Samaritan Center, Charlotte, NC. Formerly, Adelphi Univ., Manhattan Institute for Psychoanalysis, and NIP. Co-author, Treating the Adult Survivor of Childhood Sexual Abuse and The Supervisory Relationship: A Contemporary Relational Perspective. Author, Perversion of Power: Sexual Abuse in the Catholic Church.

Jonathan Lear, PhD  John U. Nef Distinguished Service Professor, Committee on Social Thought and the Department of Philosophy, Univ. of Chicago. Chicago Psychoanalytic Institute. Recipient, the Andrew W. Mellon Foundation Distinguished Achievement Award. Author, Freud, Radical Hope: Ethics in the Face of Cultural Devastation and A Case for Irony. Private Practice, Chicago, IL.


Ellen G. Ruderman, PhD, PsyD, LCSW  Institute for Contemporary Psychoanalysis. Former Area Chair, So. CA, AAPCSW. Co-Editor/Author, Therapies with Women in Transition, Contemporary Clinical Practice: The Holding Environment Under Assault and papers on the impact of the outside world on treatment. Private practice, Encino, CA.


Mark D. Smaller, PhD  President-elect, American Psychoanalytic Association. Founding Director, Project Realize at Morton Alternative School in Chicago and Southwest Michigan. Former Director, Neuropsychoanalysis Foundation. Author, papers on psychoanalytic concepts to solve problems in public education. Private practice, Chicago, IL.

Ed Tronick, PhD  Distinguished Professor of Psychology, UMass, Boston. Professor, Harvard Medical School, School of Public Health and Human Development, and School of Education. Boston Psychoanalytic Society and Institute. Author and co-author, 300 papers and videos on neurobehavioral and social-emotional development of infants and young children, parenting in the US and other cultures, and infant-parent mental health.

Conference Planners:
Penny Rosen, Conference Chair
Cathy Siebold, Program Co-Chair
William Meyer, Consultant

Committee:
Karen Baker (AAPCSW President),
Terrie Baker, Samano Barish,
Lisa Barnhardt (Exhibits), Barbara Berger,
Sally Comer (Student Volunteers),
Michael Jokich (CEUs), Judy Ann Kaplan,
Molly Kiefer (Hospitality), Beth Lansing,
Patty Macnair (Treasurer),
Susan Bokor Nadas (Faculty),
Nancy Peraut (Hospitality),
Kim Sarasohn (Call for Papers),
Susan Sherman (Student Call for Papers),
Diana Siskind (Student Call for Papers),
Carolyn Stevenson (Editor), Christy Tronnier.
See page 14 for a list of our Readers.

Conference Location
The Durham Convention Center is connected to the Marriott Durham City Center, which is located in historic downtown Durham.

Hotel Reservations
Marriott Durham City Center,
201 Foster Street, Durham, NC.
Reserve by February 13, 2013, for a discounted rate of $119/night.
Call Marriott reservations 800.909.8375 and mention AAPCSW/NIPER. For online reservations: www.marriott.com/rdvcv, enter group code APIAPIA.

CEUs
15.75 Continuing Education Units.
Important disclosure information: None of the planners and presenters of this CE program have any relevant financial relationships to disclose.

More Information
For session descriptions and biographies of all participants visit www.aapcsw.org.

Registration
Complete and return the form at right or register online at www.aapcsw.org. Check website for any updates.

Conference is sponsored by National Institute for Psychoanalytic Education and Research, Inc. (NIPER), educational arm of AAPCSW.
Area Representatives’ Corner

Southern California, Orange County & Los Angeles
Submitted by Karen K Redding, LCSW, PhD, Orange County Chair, and Joan Rankin, PsyD, LCSW, Los Angeles Chair

Given this year’s presidential election and the emergence of political discussions in our psychotherapy offices, the Orange County Chapter of AAPCSW sponsored a one-day lecture and workshop with Andrew Samuels on October 6, 2012. Dr. Samuels is an internationally renowned professor of analytical psychology at Essex, London, and cofounder of the UK’s Psychotherapists and Counselors for Social Responsibility. He has authored several books, including Politics on the Couch: Citizenship and the Internal Life.

The workshop explored the following topics:
(1) What is the role of psychoanalysis and psychotherapy in relation to political problems and processes? (2) How might Western societies move from financial sadism to relational economics? (3) Are there spiritual and political dimensions to psychological experience? What happens to people deprived of these aspects of experience? and (4) Is there a role for politics in the clinical space?

The event was cosponsored by the Los Angeles Institute and Society for Psychoanalytic Studies Trauma Center; the Orange County and Los Angeles chapters of the AAPCSW; the Up-Rooted Mind Committee; and the C. G. Jung Institute of Los Angeles.

As chapters of the AAPCSW, it is our hope to continue to widen the lens of both the micro and macro focus and work collaboratively with our multidisciplined psychoanalytic colleagues.

Nevada
Submitted by Marilyn H. Palasky, PhD, LCSW, Area Chair, and Tim Hamilton, LCSW, area member

On June 30, Symposium on Mental Health Best Practices, the first of a number of Mental Health Practice Trainings held in the Las Vegas area (see www.mentalhealthlv.com), was a success. Attendees in the morning sessions were treated to overviews of up to date practical approaches to service provision being used currently in physiological areas such as mental health medications and psychological/dialogical areas such as Gestalt therapy and dialectical behavioral therapy, each with a focus to maximize the beneficial outcome to clients. Afternoon attendees were brought up to date on developments in the fields of chiropractic and hypnotherapy as applied to helping clients dealing with mental health concerns. To finish the day attendees were provided a glimpse into the practical specifics of contemporary case management and working with managed care insurance systems to obtain the best services fit to client needs.

Our planning committee all are professional psychotherapists who meet to decide what patients, friends, and students want to learn, and we keep it interactive with questions at the end portion of each forty-five-minute presentation. The August 25 Symposium on Parenting in Las Vegas started with “What Parents Should Know about Meds,” “Parenting Theory: Attachment, Holding, Listening,” and “Foster Care and Single Parenting.” The afternoon included “What the School Counselor Wants,” “Home Schooling,” and the panel discussion “The Ethics of Parenting.”

Our November 3 Symposium on Modern Psychoanalysis and Ethics hosted a senior clinician and founding member from the Manhattan psychoanalytic training institutes the Center for Group Studies and the Center for Modern Psychoanalytic Studies. Gerald M. Fishbein, PhD, was interviewed with questions we collected from our community regarding their interest in transference, countertransference, resistance, and joining during the morning session. The afternoon was also interactive, with the ethics of those psychoanalytic principles discussed in terms of their efficacy in the treatment dyad: Is there an ethical code to transference? What laws are active in countertransference? Surely resistance is subject to some natural rules and regulations in session. What should the boundaries be when using the join?

Watch www.mentalhealthlv.com for upcoming events.

Rhode Island
Submitted by Lee Miriam Whitman-Raymond, PhD, MFA, Area Chair

Rhode Island area held its first event in April of 2012, at the Rochambeau library. It was a well attended, with Cathy Siebold, DSW, speaking on issues of class in
psychoanalytic psychotherapy. We are in the middle of planning our second event, a brunch and informal chat with Louis Rothchild for late fall. We are delighted to have George Hagman available to speak to us at our second annual spring event in April 2013. We also have an ongoing study group that started in May 2011 and meets monthly to discuss articles and cases.

Western Massachusetts
Washington State
Washington DC / Baltimore
Submitted by Penny Rosen, MSW, BCD-P, President-elect

Berkshires, Massachusetts
When I was receiving announcements of programs in the Berkshires sponsored by the Western Massachusetts and Albany Association for Psychoanalytic Psychology (WMAAPP), I realized that AAPCSW did not have a presence in that area. I approached Claire Rosenberg, an AAPCSW member in Stockbridge, MA, who put me in touch with Joan Burkhard of WMAAPP. This led to us working on cosponsoring a program on Saturday, October 13, at the Red Lion Inn on Main Street in Stockbridge.

Crayton E. Rowe Jr., MSW, BCD-P, was the invited speaker who presented a paper titled “Understanding the Undifferentiated Selfobject: A Redefinition of Symptoms and Disorders—A Case Example.” Rowe has extended Kohut's selfobject concept to enable therapists to work successfully with populations that are most difficult to treat and that create enormous anxiety and countertransference reactions, including patients who are suicidal, addictive, obsessive, and anorexic. His presentation was an opportunity for the participants to expand their clinical skills with in depth understanding and appreciation of the symptoms and disorders of these patients. Rowe's extension of Kohut's concept of selfobject has redefined symptoms and disorders and has called into question the validity of diagnostic manuals.

Rowe is the author of Treating the Basic Self and coauthor, with David MacIsaac, PhD, of Empathic Attunement: The “Technique” of Psychoanalytic Self Psychology. Rowe is a contributor to Progress in Self Psychology and an author of a number of other psychoanalytic publications. He is founder of the American Association for Psychoanalysis in Clinical Social Work and founding and faculty member of the New York Institute for Psychoanalytic Self

See Area Representatives' Corner on page 24

“Sophie’s Dilemma: Relational Trauma and Adolescent Suicide” — A Report from North Carolina
Jennie Dickson, Graduate Student, UNC School of Social Work
Submitted by William S. Meyer, MSW, BCD, Area Chair

Presentation of Anne Segall, LMSW, and discussant Beverly Simmons, LCSW, at the UNC School of Social Work, May 19, 2012.

As a clinician, the sight of a lanky, fourteen-year-old client doing a backflip off the couch in your office may elicit a variety of feelings, awe and horror likely among them. Paul, the psychologist portrayed in HBO’s popular drama “In Treatment,” witnessed this spectacle when his client, Sophie, an Olympic-level gymnastics competitor, tumbled backward and alighted gracefully on his carpet. His relief upon her safe landing was fleeting, as only a few minutes later Sophie downed a bottle of pills from his bathroom and collapsed on the floor.

Adolescent suicide, according to speaker Anne Segall, LMSW, and discussant Beverly Simmons, LCSW, must be understood within the context of three lenses: (1) the developmental frame, (2) relational trauma, and (3) developmental regression and the suicide sequence. In her talk, Ms. Segall described the complex evolution of the adolescent mind and body, touching on identity, familial interactions, and the development of the self. She explained how the interruption of this process through relational trauma such as loss or abuse can disrupt attachment, ability to regulate emotions, self soothing, and unleash destructive defensive patterns.

Using Sophie's story as a backdrop for a discussion of adolescent suicide, Segall displayed how the relational trauma Sophie experienced when she was sexually abused by her gymnastics coach resulted in her suicide attempts and self-destructive behaviors.

Sophie had experienced an early loss of her father through divorce, leaving her emotionally vulnerable to her gym coach who had taken on a paternal role. While her coach’s wife was away from home for an extended period of time, Sophie cared for his daughter and even slept at his home. After her coach molested her, he

See Sophie’s Dilemma on page 24
abandoned her emotionally, leaving her traumatized, vulnerable, guilty, and alone.

Sophie’s story unfolds over the course of treatment, and we learn in detail the relationship she had with her coach. In the final scene of Segall’s presentation, Sophie arrives in Paul’s office after a night partying with classmates. She describes dissociating during a sexual encounter, and soon describes her suicide attempt and reveals her intentions to Paul. He sits next to her and touches her shoulder. She leaves to use the bathroom, finds a bottle of pills, and swallows them all before she rushes toward the door and falls to the floor.

Beverly Simmons offered insightful commentary after Segall’s talk, furthering an analysis of Sophie’s character as it represents the adolescent suicide sequence. Beverly’s insights opened the conversation, allowing the audience at UNC–Chapel Hill to discuss Paul’s therapeutic approach and the many missed opportunities he had to intervene prior to her second suicide attempt. Finally, the audience discussed the challenges involved in maintaining a therapeutic trust and alliance when considering committing a patient with suicidal ideation. Participants noted the risk of further alienating and retraumatizing adolescent patients by hospitalizing them against their will.

The discussion and case study underscored the complexities of adolescent suicidality and the audience was encouraged to further explore the interface of trauma, loss, alienation, and intimacy that often accompany the troubled adolescent.

By now you may be asking, Why is this important to me as a clinical social worker psychoanalyst or a psychoanalytically informed social worker? Within the halls of social work education we have seen an erosion of psychoanalytic thought embedded in the curriculum. As clinical social workers we hear the disparaging comments about psychoanalysis. We are bombarded with “the quick fixes” attributed to CBT, DBT, and medication. There is a good deal of work and education that we need to do to combat the negative perspectives and perceptions of psychoanalysis. If the ACPEinc is successful in their pursuit to be recognized by the DoE and if utilized by psychoanalytic programs, this would be a major step toward, what some refer to as the “institutionalization” of psychoanalysis.

These are some big “ifs” on the table. So why would we want to pursue the “institutionalization” of psychoanalysis? This is certainly a controversial issue. I imagine if I were to poll our members, I would find some who would agree with this, others who would oppose it, and still others who may see it as irrelevant. Nonetheless, members of the Consortium are supporting ACPE’s pursuit for accrediting institutes and pursuing approval from the DoE. If ACPE is successful, psychoanalysis would be recognized as a specialty treatment for those suffering from emotional problems and mental disturbances.

Accrediting institutes is one issue pertaining to “institutionalizing” psychoanalysis. A second issue is credentialing. The ACPEinc has set a standard for faculty, supervisors, and graduates of accredited institutes to be certified by an independent organization.

In 2004, with knowledge of this credentialing standard, the American Board of Examiners in Clinical Social Work (ABE) published a position statement on the advanced practice of psychoanalysis by clinical social workers. The position statement is comprehensive in its description of the characteristics of clinical social work practice of psychoanalysis and the various approaches used by clinical social workers in this specialty area.

Several of our esteemed colleagues were readers of this document, including Jerrold Brandell, Laurie Curtis, Rosemarie Gaeta, Judy Ann Kaplan, Joseph Palombo, David Phillips, Crayton E. Rowe, and Cathy Siebold, to name but a few. This three-year project resulted in establishing the Board Certified Diplomate in Clinical Social Work–Psychoanalysis (BCD-P).

The idea of obtaining another credential or accrediting institutes may seem immaterial and have little or no
benefit to your professional life. However, accreditation and certification is but one strategy that promotes psychoanalysis as an effective method of treatment and has the potential to benefit clinical social workers practicing psychoanalysis. If psychoanalysis is recognized by the DoE, this will secure the practice of psychoanalysis as an advanced specialization within all the mental health disciplines including clinical social work.

I hope this offers you some understanding of the Psychoanalytic Consortium as well as the importance of the ACPEinc and the BCD-P as efforts toward the advancement of the practice of psychoanalysis. You can learn more about ACPEinc by going to www.acpeinc.org or about the BCD-P by going to www.abe.org.

Farewell and Welcome

Change is happening within the AAPCSW. After eighteen years of stellar work, Deborah Dale has decided to resign from the AAPCSW to pursue personal and professional opportunities. We now have a new administrator, Barbara Matos.

There is no doubt that Deborah has been an integral part of our organization. Throughout the years, she conversed with many of you over the phone or by e-mail to assist you with your committee chairs. It is not an easy task to be the administrator for an organization without walls, conducting the majority of its business via phone and e-mails! Nonetheless, Deborah kept business running efficiently. Since assuming my presidency last October, I have gotten to know and appreciate Deborah all the more, as we had the opportunity to work closely together on several projects. She has always been conscientious, patient, kind, respectful, and a bit of a perfectionist, taking her work seriously and always striving for the best.

As we bid farewell to Deborah, it is my pleasure to welcome and introduce you to Barbara Matos. Barbara comes to us as an experienced administrator. She is the administrator and executive director with the Accreditation Council for Psychoanalytic Education, Inc. (ACPE). For many years before that, she held positions that include director of grants and administration, administrative director, and program coordinator of resource development at the American Psychiatric Foundation of the American Psychiatric Association in Virginia. Deborah has been training Barbara throughout the summer. Some of you may have already been in e-mail contact with her regarding membership questions. As Deborah passes the baton to Barbara, I anticipate a smooth transition with as little disruption as possible to our membership. I am confident that Barbara will continue in Deborah's competent footsteps! I know that all of you wish Deborah the best, as we welcome Barbara into our organization.

Another Important Milestone for Clinical Social Work and Psychoanalysis

In my winter News from the President column (December 2011), I brought to your attention the historic achievement that the journal Psychoanalytic Social Work is now available on the PEP. Another significant milestone happened shortly thereafter, when Mark D. Smaller, PhD, was elected by the American Psychoanalytic Association’s membership as president-elect. Dr. Smaller assumed this position in June 2012 and will serve as the president-elect until June 2014, at which time he will take on his role as president until 2016. Dr. Smaller is the first clinical social worker to be elected president in APsaA’s one-hundred-year history. In case you missed it, the Winter 2012 AAPCSW newsletter had a brief article about his being elected as president-elect and his contributions to psychoanalysis. Dr. Smaller is interested in the AAPCSW and APsaA working together on a future project. I will keep you informed when a joint project unfolds.

From the President-Elect, continued from page 3

be a panelist on writing for professional publications.

In the LA Times front-page story “Compassion Colors Santa Monica Therapist’s Portraits of the Homeless,” Stuart Perlman relates to us all being “one thin line from being traumatized and homeless.” He brings to our conference his project of oil portraits of the homeless and a documentary on the subject.

Finally, for some fun activities we have a “Taste Carolina” walking tour of downtown Durham, including the city’s history and architecture, and an evening Reception on Thursday, March 14. Saturday’s Luncheon will be accompanied by live music of Bach and Tchaikovsky. On Saturday evening we will hear jazz and bluegrass bands at the Blue Jean Ball, with musicians including John Chiaramonte, Jerry Brandell, and Sid Comer, who are psychoanalysts.

With so much in store for you, we know you would want to be part of this “Under One Tent” experience with its unique Southern flare. Join us in Durham for an exhilarating time!
Board Certification for the Clinical Social Worker Psychoanalyst

The specialty credential Board Certified Diplomate in Clinical Social Work-Psychoanalysis (BCD-P) enables expert clinical social workers to be recognized for proficiency in psychoanalysis. It is based on practice competences identified through research and consultation and embodied in the position statement, The Practice of Psychoanalysis: A Specialty of Clinical Social Work. The Accreditation Council on Psychoanalytic Education (APCE) recognizes the BCD-P credential preferentially for those wishing to serve as training analysts at accredited institutes.

Applicants must hold the BCD or fulfill the requirements for the BCD and must meet the criteria either as a graduate of a psychoanalytic institute or a nongraduate.

Graduated from an Institute:
Training: Graduate of a psychoanalytic institute training program

Personal Analysis by a training analyst or equivalent (who had at least 5 years of post-graduate experience as a psychoanalyst), in-person, for a minimum of 40 weeks/300 hours during a year (at a frequency of 3–5 sessions per week, on separate days)

Supervision:
1) Received supervision in practice for at least 150 hours by a training analyst or equivalent
2) Under supervision, conducted two in-person adult psychoanalysis cases—at least one supervised to completion—lasting at least 2 years in one instance, and at least 1 year in the other

Specialty Practice Experience:
1) Within the past year, amassed a minimum of 300 hours of clinical social work practice informed by psychoanalytic theory and formal psychoanalysis with at least two analysands
2) Within three years or more, amassed 4,500 hours of post-master’s clinical social work practice informed by psychoanalytic theory

Continuing Education: Within the past three years, amassed 40 clock hours of clinical continuing education, of which 50% can be identified as psychoanalytically oriented

Evaluation of Practice: Two successful evaluations by colleagues/supervisors/consultants who are psychoanalysts who are clinical social workers, psychologists, or psychiatrists

Did Not Graduate from an Institute:
Training: Achieved equivalency of knowledge in history of psychoanalysis, psychoanalytic theory, psychoanalytic technique, normal and abnormal growth and development within the context of psychoanalytic models, and sociocultural factors and gender issues

Personal Analysis by a training analyst or equivalent (who had at least 5 years of post-graduate experience as a psychoanalyst), in-person, for a minimum of 450 hours, meeting at least twice a week

Supervision:
1) Received supervision in practice for at least 150 hours by an analyst(s) equivalent to a training analyst and who, at the time of supervision, had 5 years’ post-graduate experience as a psychoanalyst
2) Under supervision, conducted two in-person adult psychoanalysis cases—at least one was supervised to completion—lasting at least 2 years in one instance, and at least 1 year in the other

Clinical Consultation: In the past 2 years, was a consultee or consultant for at least 20 hours (in formal or informal setting) in the practice of psychoanalysis

Continuing Education: Within the past 3 years, amassed 40 clock hours of clinical continuing education, of which 50% can be identified as psychoanalytically oriented

Specialty Practice Experience:
1) Within the past year, practiced at least 300 hours (post-grad) informed by psychoanalytic theory and formal psychoanalysis with at least one analysand;
2) 20 hours of clinical continuing education, of which at least 25% must apply to psychoanalysis; and
3) Highest clinical-level state licensure in good standing and adherence to ABE’s Code of Ethics.

Apply for board certification as a Clinical Social Worker Psychoanalyst: kab@abecsw.org or 1.800.694.5285, x16.

Annual Recertification Requirements are the following:
• Currency of practice (at least 300 practice hours) and active practice of psychoanalysis with at least one analysand;
• 20 hours of clinical continuing education, of which at least 25% must apply to psychoanalysis; and
• Highest clinical-level state licensure in good standing and adherence to ABE’s Code of Ethics.

continued on page 27 . . .
Evaluation of Practice:
1) Successfully evaluated by colleagues/supervisors/consultants who are psychoanalyst clinical social workers, psychologists, or psychiatrists, and
2) Subject of favorable letter of assessment from at least one of two colleagues who consulted/supervised you, while you were obtaining your practice knowledge.

Apply for board certification as a Clinical Social Worker Psychoanalyst: kab@abecsw.org or 1.800.694.5285, x16.

Record Keeping, continued from page 16


There is truly so much more to know in the service of effective treatment these days than we old-timers had any awareness of. What was absolutely revolutionary to me was the part about sharing session records with patients. No longer can one allow oneself free-association writing, including frustration with slow movement of the treatment or feelings about the client's not seeming able to grasp what one is trying to convey. Records now have to reflect the therapist's own reflections about any negative reactions to a session such that the recording of these reactions contributes to the principle of therapist/client partnership in the treatment process. Perhaps this should always have been the way of doing things but it is Dr. Luepker's book that brought this principal home to me. In a way, one can view the principal of sharing records with patients as a way of effecting ongoing growth for the therapist as well as the patient.

Using the typical online protocol for scoring the value of an item—one to five stars—I give this book a resounding ***** assessment.

Inge Oppenheimer, LCSW, BCD, recently retired from a full-time position as assistant director of Bronx Family Court Mental Health Services (a court diagnostic agency), and from part-time private practice in individual and couple treatment. Over the past thirty years she has had a number of letters-to-the-editor concerning mental health issues published in the New York Times.
Besides moving her practice from Manhattan’s UWS to the village in March, Penelope “Penny” Andrew, LCSW-R, continues to publish film reviews and think-pieces on film subjects for the Huffington Post, Bright Lights Film Journal, and other online and print publications. In August, she published “A Myth Is Replaced by a Miracle in New Doc Searching for Sugar Man Resurrecting the Work of Elusive Musician Sixto Rodriguez” (www.huffingtonpost.com/penelope-andrew/a-myth-is-replaced-by-a-m_b_1770854.html). She is also researching a book on the life and career of the late actress Deborah Kerr, in collaboration with Josephine Botting, fiction curator at the British Film Institute. The book is an expansion of Andrews’s profile of Kerr, “An Actress in Search of an Author” (www.brightlightsfilm.com/72/72kerr_andrew.php). Penny maintains her active membership in the Women Film Critics Circle.

Falling through the Cracks: Psychodynamic Practice with Vulnerable and Oppressed Clients (Columbia University Press), a new book edited by Joan Berzoff, MSW, EdD, was nominated for the Gradaiva Award and the Goethe Award (Canadian), both psychoanalytic awards usually reserved for psychologists and psychiatrists. She will find out who wins at the IAPP meeting in New York in November. Joan will also be teaching at the University of Hong Kong for two weeks and will give three lectures: on palliative care, on relational teaching, and on content from her new book. She is also doing an all-day presentation on Falling through the Cracks with many of the authors from the book on the weekend of CSWE in Washington DC, sponsored by the Clinical Society of DC and led by Joel Kanter; she will also be presenting on relational pedagogies in end-of-life care at CSWE. She will be the keynote speaker for the Hospices of New Hampshire in November, presenting “The Transformative Nature of Grief and Bereavement.”

What’s Your News?

Write, or e-mail: Ashley Warner, MSW, BCD
Assistant Newsletter Editor
85 Fifth Avenue, Suite 934, New York, NY 10003
awarnerlcsw@gmail.com

Roman Crudele, LCSW-R, successfully finished psychoanalytic training at the National Psychological Association for Psychoanalysis (NPAP) in January 2012, with graduation held on September 28, 2012, in New York City. Roman is currently in private practice in New York City.

Sharon Farber, PhD, has published her second book, Hungry for Ecstasy: Trauma, the Brain, and the Influence of the Sixties (www.amazon.com/Hungry-Ecstasy-Trauma-Influence-Sixties/dp/0765708582). In March 2012 she presented “Eating Disorders, Self-Mutilation, and Trauma” at Hadassah Hospital in Ein Kerem, Jerusalem, and finally met one of her coauthors on the 2007 paper “Death and Annihilation Anxiety in Anorexia, Bulimia, and Self-mutilation.” She presented on ecstatic experience, the subject of her new book, at the Westchester chapter of the NYSSCSW in May 2012 and at the International Society for the Study of Trauma and Dissociation Annual Conference in November 2011 in Montreal, and presented “Cult-Induced Ecsstasies and Psychoses” at the International Cultic Studies Association conference in July 2012, also in Montreal. (And she does not even speak French.) A year and a half ago, she began a writing group for clinicians who want to write lively, engaging material about their work. It has gone very well and she has recently opened it as a teleseminar for those who cannot participate in person at her office in Hastings-on-Hudson, New York. She can also be contacted for private consultation about writing (Sharonkfarber@gmail.com).

Wendy Freund, MSEd, LCSW, presented her newest paper, “Adoption Search and Reunion: A Historical and Personal Journey,” at St. John’s Adoption Initiative, October 18–20, 2012, one of two important adoption conferences to be given this fall. On November 18, she spoke on adoption search and reunion at the conference sponsored by the Adoptive Parent Committee. Both are wonderful conferences to learn more about the world of adoption and to discuss the key issues.
Laura W. Groshong, LICSW, has been appointed to the Optum Behavioral Specialty Advisory Council, representing the Clinical Social Work Association. Optum is a group created by United Health Care to work on the informatics of mental health treatment, that is, electronic health records, compliance with HIPAA and HITECH, development of practice standards, and outcome tools. Optum meets quarterly, once in person. The most recent meeting was October 2, 2012, in Washington DC. Optum will help clinicians finance the software needed to create EHRs, among other services.

Robin Mortkowitz, MSW, LCSW, is pleased to announce the opening of her new office in Ridgewood, New Jersey. Her practice specializes in psychoanalysis, psychodynamic therapy, and cognitive behavioral therapy. Contact her office at 201.421.1190 or robin@robinmortkowitz.com.

Cathy Siebold, DSW, has been named to the board of directors of CAPA (China American Psychoanalytic Alliance). She also presented a paper in May 2012 to the Rhode Island area, titled “When We Talk, Do You Really Hear Me? Intersubjectivity and Difference in the Clinical Encounter.”

Brian R. Smith, LCSW, was promoted to program director for the Clinical Case Management team at Aurora Mental Health Center. He continues to maintain a small private practice and will begin teaching Generalist Practice II at Metro State College of Denver again this fall.
they can't quite put their fingers on—and why they should see us. We can help! How do we, in good conscience, make that guarantee prior to any contact? How do we define help? Aiming to persuade people into believing we've abilities to anticipate and meet their needs before assessment is not altogether discrepant from those selling snake oil appealing to the primitive wishes of others. Some clinicians are indiscriminately willing to do whatever it takes to garner the interest of prospective patients, or consumers, as they're being referred to. Maybe that's part of the conundrum, thinking about our patients as those looking to consume something.

Increasingly, we sacrifice clinical integrity in service of securing business. We likely spend no more than a few moments on the phone scheduling an initial appointment with a person referred by a colleague. In contrast, we bombard people with detailed information on our websites, describing everything and then some, which is generally addressed as the therapy unfolds. No longer reserving for the initial session discussion of dimensions and parameters of the therapeutic frame, we go so far as to provide boundless narrative templates illustrating how the first session and those subsequent will be conducted. As our escalating defensive anxieties, pinnacle relative to possibilities we won't be contacted we don't chance leaving anything out of our marketing, just in case an omitted item might be the very bit of information necessary to sway someone into selecting us. On our frequently asked questions pages we're doing both the asking and the answering of questions that have yet to be posed by the patient. What is the process by which our rational selves and our defenses reach consensus it's acceptable to flood the mind of the patient with our fabricated questions while asserting they were his all along? What happened to encouraging the patient to formulate and ask his own questions and then provide his own associations? What happened to exploring with the patient possible meanings of his questions, what underlies them? One of several curious examples I noticed countless times in our marketing was the mention of cancellation policies. I've tried and I simply can't force a plausible clinical argument in support of providing a cancellation or tardiness policy to prospective patients before they've set foot in the practitioner's office. What motivates us to address cancellations in advance of beginning the work? Is it a function of our own avoidance in relationship to conflict? Is it to give the impression of legitimacy or professionalism? Is it greed driven? Do we think people don't fathom we're operating businesses when we're marketing ourselves? In our marketing, do we consider noting that cancellations or missed appointments or late arrivals have meaning and will be explored in the therapy? Unlikely. Instead, we warn we'll charge for them. Our Full Fees. My efforts toward developing greater facility in relationship to the realm of money in psychotherapy generate more questions than answers. How much should I charge? What is it I'm charging for? My time? My experience? A relationship? What factors determine the value of a clinical hour?

There's a disappointing dearth of literature on the topic. Not long ago, I conducted respective searches on the PEP Archives for “setting fees” and “fees and psychoanalysis.” PEP roughly contains a staggering seventy-five thousand individual journal articles and one hundred seminal psychoanalytic books in full text. I was offered seventy-two matches for the former search and ninety-four for the latter, with overlap between them. Amid the matches was scant mention of what I was looking for. This omission underscores the muddle we find ourselves wading through when considering the money aspect of the work. How do we locate clearings in the jumble of a topic no one wishes to discuss?

Many of us don't refer to ourselves as social workers. I, for one, never set out to be a social worker. I was interested in being a therapist and a Master of Social Work degree seemed the most efficient way of achieving my objective. Frankly, I had negligible understanding of what constituted social work when I began work on that degree. Something unexpected happened along the way. I developed appreciation for the mission, values and ethics of the discipline as foundational cornerstones for the clinical work. And, I identify more closely with the discipline now than I did as a student twenty years ago when I entertained lofty fantasies involving a thirty-hour work week, commanding $100 per hour. Interfering with my fantasies was the reality of my first job postgraduation. As a staff therapist in a private child welfare agency, my yearly salary was $24,000. I may be highballing that figure.

Our predecessors in the field were known as friendly visitors, church congregants, mostly women, who minis-
tered to the poor with hopes of helping them renounce the evils of poverty and disenfranchisement so they might rise above their moral decrepitude. I'm guessing these folks thought of themselves as well meaning, guided by the sociocultural mores of the time. Our ideas about a group of individuals' predicaments shift throughout our development as clinicians. The first program in the discipline began in 1898 at the New York School of Philanthropy, now the School of Social Work at Columbia University. The point I wish to make here is that we started out as givers. I can't help but wonder if that's part of the relationship to fees; is it possible an historical relic has been maintained in the collective unconscious of the profession? We weren't supposed to be receiving money; we were expected to be altruistic helpers. As we developed into clinicians and for decades thereafter, we weren't permitted to work privately, independently. We were to carry out our work unselfishly while being supervised by others, Professionals, under far-reaching organizational canopies. Private practice for us wasn't truly a viable option until the final quarter of the last century, when we began in greater numbers to avail ourselves of psychoanalytic and analytically informed training. Some of our unrestrained self-marketing appears to be governed by abreactions against having been viewed until recently as paraprofessionals. Those defensive abreactations or acting outs may reinforce long-standing misperceptions, a collective projective-identification of sorts. For example, if we carry unconscious worries over being perceived as paraprofessionals, marketing benefit of careful consideration for clinical implications supports those perceptions, the very fabric of the worries.

Those of us in private practice have but a brief historical trajectory as a reference point for modeling a business out of what we do. Like others in the field, ancillary to training, my experience as patient has influenced my work as practitioner. Following a protracted counterproductive psychotherapy I found my way to the couch of an entirely human, humane analyst committed to the steady, stable reliability of sound clinical practice. Contrasting the experiences, I've concluded it's simply not possible to facilitate environments that welcome and encourage the expansion of the patient's observing ego if that of the therapist is not engaged. Of course, we all have and will continue having blind spots. But, is it not incumbent upon us to work painstakingly at reducing their circumferences? Is it not incumbent upon us to take critical looks at our work and the ways we conduct ourselves professionally? We all hear alarming stories of what occurs in other offices; a great number of current patients have had previous psychotherapists.

In my experience some of the most floridly egregious misalliances and enactments occur in relationship to the fee, money. When I was trying to figure out what to do with Psychology Today the matter of fee was prominent, as I was being asked to provide mine and to indicate whether or not I offered a sliding scale. I know what a sliding scale is in an agency setting but I don't know what it means in a private practice. Isn't a formula required to construct one? Are we not really saying we have numeric ranges within which patients and we negotiate mutually agreed upon fees? Related, when we talk about “full fee” what are we saying? Is that the amount we hope patients will pay? Is that the amount we’d like others to think patients are paying? What motivates us to subordinate patients into the humiliating position of begging for a fee they can afford? I’ve heard they exist, practitioners who
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- Opportunities for clinical experience through the Institute's Referral Service.
- LMSW's can receive supervised experience credit toward LCSW certification.

**THE NEW YORK SCHOOL FOR PSYCHOANALYTIC PSYCHOTHERAPY AND PSYCHOANALYSIS**

200 West 57 St #1307 NY, NY 10019  212 245 7045

Absolute Charter by the New York State Board of Regents

See The Business of Helping on page 32
have firm fees that will not be adjusted under any circumstances. I’ve not met one.

So, then, who determines the fair market value for therapy? It isn’t clinicians, since we lack consensus among us regarding fees, we’re secretive about what we factually charge and are paid, and we arbitrarily inflate the monetary rate of the clinical hour in order to justify offering “reduced” fees for those who aren’t in position (read, can’t afford) to pay full fees. It seems we take steps back into history and channel the friendly visitors when we do that. How do we explain with unflinching clinical rationale to ourselves or anyone else that it doesn’t demean another human being when he’s assigned a seat in the “reduced” section of the office or, scheduled a session during the “reduced,” off-peak, hours or, deemed among the fortunate few who snare one of the mysterious “reduced” slots? Full? Off-peak? Reduced? Slots? Some of the terms endorsed by self-described analytic practitioners on websites and in advertising are misleading and insult both the dignity of our patients and that of the profession. We’re the same people who market ourselves as empathic and caring and sensitive and nonjudgmental. We market our elevated abilities to create safe, trust-laden environments. It’s neither therapeutic nor caring nor trustworthy to create a burden for the patient while hiding behind the illusion of bestowing a gift, particularly if the matter is never openly talked about again. But, if we listen, we’ll hear the patient working it out, over . . . and again . . . thematically. Belonglessness. Guilt. Shame. Compliance. Defiance. Unworthiness. These are but a few examples coming to mind. Do we analyze these themes, attribute their emergence to possible iatrogenic causes or do we comfort ourselves by dismissing them hastily as expressions of the patient’s character or pathology? Instead of looking for cracks in the therapeutic frame, to exculpate ourselves we scapegoat the patient by way of silently firing jargon heavy bullets aimed toward further pathologizing him. The patient demonstrates a masochistic, self-defeating core. The patient’s defensive structure resides on the borderline continuum. The patient exhibits features of a malignant narcissism.

Not unrelated, do we listen for communication from patients paying fees near the upper registers of our scales? They have a lot to say. An individual may resent being required to pay more than what others are paying for the same service. His sense of entitlement may be an entirely warranted response to the clinical situation, especially when produced by it. How’s a patient to know there aren’t multiple tiers of service with varying amenities depending on fee amounts? Might we make an unspoken pact with the patient paying a high fee not to engage inquiry when he arrives forty minutes late for the session, telling us he stopped into the nearby Starbucks and lost track of time? Joining him in his resistance we avoid addressing the obvious while fretting over the possibility of angering or offending him into not returning. He pays $800 a month! Might we sit vigilant, listening for reasons to confront punitively the patient paying a low fee? Contrasting, perhaps we’ll behave ingratiatingly or excessively accommodating as compensation for our simmering anger over having agreed to a fee we ourselves couldn’t afford accepting. Smiling while seething requires practiced concentration. If we don’t sort out our own ideas about money and greed and charity, how do we authentically facilitate patients’ attempts at such? Reconciling one’s relationship with money is a global theme. We scarcely slip past our own discomforts by being evasive and opaque about what we do. Setting a reasonable fee with a patient is part of establishing the frame. It’s a vital, structuring part of the work. Being honest and being fair are fundamentals of the work.

It’s not possible to get clear about what one does in a vacuum. We need each other to query and dialogue with, to agree and disagree with. This dialectic is charged and difficult and exposing. Yet and still, we distance ourselves from it by remaining sequestered in our offices or behind websites or marketing campaigns. We construct intricate barriers for avoiding altogether sharing our real experiences with others in the field. We don’t discourage colleagues from having erroneous aggrandizing fantasies about our practices. We’d sooner choose being envied before confessing the realities of our circumstances. When I was completing my profile on Psychology Today I was advised to select three specialties from a list of about sixty issues. These items would highlight my expertise by setting me apart from the other one thousand therapists in my city who advertise on that website. There wasn’t a limit regarding the remaining fifty-seven issues I’d be permitted to indicate having competency addressing. Would these be considered my subspecialties, I wondered? For a rebellious second I considered checking off everything. I’m a generalist, after all. What are my areas of specialization, anyway? Are they my clinical interests, domains I’ve made a point to know a lot about? And, what now constitutes treating? Am I required to have certification with the imprimatur from a seminar indicating I’m qualified to
treat a specific disorder or problematic? Precisely what factors comprise the various amalgams of intrapsychic and behavioral phenomena required to establish disorders or pathologies? And, who determines them? A group of persons sitting around a table in a distant publishing house or pharmaceutical company? While I’m asking, exactly what is an issue? The people at Psychology Today noted Life Coaching on their issues list. I presume the irony was unintentional.

Covertly ironic in our advertising are a priori guarantees we’ll assist patients in finding a different therapist should they decide working with us isn’t working. As do we, patients remember what is communicated. The conscious intent of the clinician may be to offer assurance but the patient stores sub-terra his own interpretation(s) of that statement. Foreshadowing in marketing materials the tidy ending of a therapy before it begins is clinically imprudent and may engender in the patient a pernicious uncertainty regarding the therapist’s confidence and competence. My point being we can’t know the prospective patient will convert that statement into one of assurance. The residua of that marketing tactic may disallow room for productive explorations of resistances and spark precipitation of the therapist’s noted unconscious worry, the patient’s leaving.

With the exception of an enactment or misalliance occurring, there is meager likelihood, if any, that a patient would desire, accept, or follow through on a referral or recommendation from a practitioner with whom the development of trust felt impossible. This point highlights the defensive irrationality embedded in our marketing. It underscores the patient is a customer. I’m not suggesting we delete our websites and advertisements; I’m advocating we consider striving to market ourselves without resorting to using gimmicks and ploys, whether overt or those requiring effort to discern. I’m advocating we gather the fundamental principles of psychoanalysis to provide oversight in our marketing endeavors. If we take the practice piece out of the business model, it eliminates for us the working through of the struggle required for integration of the two. If we view our patients as consumers, the singular essential is providing good customer service. Customers generally are not required to work; customers generally are placated, not challenged. Customers’ wishes are satisfied post haste. We want sustaining, thriving practices and we want to be able to be located by others, to have a presence. Sadly, it appears the distance between having a presence and the edge of the precipice is narrowing.

I never felt comfortable with what I put together for my Psychology Today profile. I had it taken down a while ago. The narrative was comprised of two sentences about my experience and my current practice. I left the fee field blank. Not utilizing electronic communication in my practice, I opted out of the feature permitting prospective patients to e-mail me for an appointment. And, I didn’t include a photo. Someone suggested I might have appeared as though I was hiding something by having a profile absent one. On this I feel reasonably confident we’ll agree. I was.

C. Mark Massullo is a member of the AAPCSW Committee on Social Justice. He is in private practice in Chicago and is an advanced doctoral candidate at the Institute for Clinical Social Work.
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Objectives:
To facilitate the communication of psychoanalytic ideas with all elements of the social work community.
To explore all dimensions of the social work relationship, both internal and external.
To investigate the continual interplay between the psyche and environment.
To recognize the personal experience in all social work interventions.

www.beyondthecouch.org

AAPCSW Gift Membership

Looking for a unique and meaningful gift for colleagues on those special occasions—personal appreciation or professional recognition, graduation, honors, birthdays, holidays? Then consider extending the gift of membership! Gift Memberships are available for $15.00/one year* to introduce prospective members to any of the six categories of AAPCSW membership. To activate your gift(s):
(1) complete an AAPCSW application form in the name of each recipient;
(2) check the appropriate level of membership and note that this is a Gift Membership; (3) add your name and basic contact information; and (4) enclose your check for $15.00 per Gift Membership, made out to AAPCSW. A card will be sent to the recipient(s) from AAPCSW announcing your gift.

The number of colleagues you may honor is unlimited.
With members like you, AAPCSW is well positioned to continue to grow, and we are definitely growing!

* Gift Memberships can be used only once per new member, who then will be invited to renew her or his membership for subsequent years.
2012 Membership Form  Please PRINT legibly

Last Name ___________________________________________ First Name ____________________________

Degree(s)/Credential(s) [preferred directory listing, e.g., PhD, LCSW, BCD, etc.] __________________________

Office Address ________________________________________________________________
County ________________________________________________________________
Office Phone __________________________ Office Extension __________________________
Cell Phone __________________________ Fax __________________________

E-mail Address ________________________________________________________________

Home Address (optional) __________________________________________________________
Home Phone (optional) __________________________

Mailing Address (if other than office) ________________________________________________

Graduate School Attended __________________________________________________________

Post-Graduate Training ____________________________________________________________

Which address(es) should we use in the printed membership directory?  [ ] Office  [ ] Home  [ ] Both  [ ] Neither

May we include you in our website membership directory?  [ ] Yes  [ ] No, only include me in the printed directory
(Name, credentials, office address, office phone, and practice areas only; If you check neither box, we will assume "Yes")

May we include your e-mail address on the AAPCSW listserv?  [ ] Yes  [ ] No
(If you check neither box, we will assume "Yes")

Practice Areas  Please indicate options below that reflect your practice

In which of the following practice areas do you have special interest? Check all that apply.

- Anxiety Disorders
- Asberger’s Syndrome
- Attachment Disorders
- Autism
- Biofeedback
- Chemical and Other Addictive Disorders
- Cognitive/Behavioral Therapy
- Critical Incident Stress Debriefing
- Depression
- Developmental Disorders
- Eating Disorders
- End-of-Life Care
- Forensic Evaluation and Treatment
- Gender-Related Issues
- Grieving/Loss
- Hypnosis
- Interpersonal Relational Problems
- Learning Disabilities
- Mediation
- Parental Loss
- Post-Traumatic Stress Disorders
- Psychoanalysis
- Psychodynamic Psychotherapy
- Psychoanalysis for Psychoanalysis in Clinical Social Work

With which modalities do you work? Check all that apply.  [ ] Individual  [ ] Group  [ ] Couple  [ ] Family  [ ] Consultation  [ ] Supervision

What is your client population? Check all that apply.

- Infants
- Children
- Adolescents
- Young Adults
- Adults
- Older Adults

Membership Category  Please make check payable to AAPCSW, or go to www.aapcsw.org to pay by credit card

Annual from the anniversary date of membership. Members joining by March 31 will be included in the current year’s printed membership directory.

- Full - $75 (Any clinical social worker with master’s or doctoral degree)
- General - $75 (Members of other mental health disciplines; all rights and privileges of full membership except the right to hold office on national executive board)
- New Professional - $55 (MSWs within three years of graduation; this reduced rate may be used for two years)
- Retiree - $55
- Analytic Candidate - $30 (Include name of institute with application; this reduced rate may be used for two years during training)
- Student - $15 (Full-time MSW, DSW, or PhD student in an accredited program; include copy of student ID with application)
- Friend - $55 (Any person who supports the aims and purposes of AAPCSW but is not a mental health professional; entitled to all rights and privileges of general membership with the exception of voting and holding office)

Optional Contributions

- A tax-deductible contribution of $_________ is enclosed to support NIPER—National Institute for Psychoanalytic Education and Research.
(Make check payable to NIPER, or go to www.aapcsw.org to pay by credit card)

- A contribution of $_________ toward the funding of national advocacy for clinical social work (Federal Legislative Activities) is enclosed.
(Make check payable to AAPCSW, or go to www.aapcsw.org to pay by credit card)

Mail this form and dues/contribution to:  Barbara L. Matos, MS, AAPCSW Administrator, 12841 Braemar Village Plaza, PMB 159, Bristow, VA 20136 (barbara.matos@aapcsw.org)

Join online at www.aapcsw.org and pay by credit card

PSYCHOANALYTIC ELECTRONIC PUBLISHING (PEP)—PEP (www.pep-web.org) provides online access to a number of psychoanalytic journals from the late 1800s until 2005. Included with the PEP are Freud’s Standard Edition and other well-known books. PEP subscriptions are annual and are paid to AAPCSW. You will be assigned an ID and password. (Access to PEP is restricted to the subscriber; no shared access and no distribution of the material.)

Make check payable to AAPCSW in the amount of $80 and send to the address above, or go to www.aapcsw.org to pay by credit card.

Questions? Contact:  John Chiaramonte, LCSW, AAPCSW Membership Chair, 908.918.1192, johnlcsw1@verizon.net
American Association for Psychoanalysis in Clinical Social Work
5824 Royal Lane, Suite 216
Dallas, TX 75230

2012–2014 AAPCSW Board of Directors

President
Karen E. Baker, MSW
kembaker1@comcast.net  734.996.8185

President-Elect
Penny Rosen, MSW, LCSW, BCD-P
rosenpmsw@aol.com  212.721.7010

Past President
Cathy Sibold, DSW
csibolddsw@gmail.com  917.209.3324

Treasurer
M. Kim Sarasohn, MSW, PhD
mksarasohn@gmail.com  212.873.2694

Secretary
Judith Batchelor, PhD, BCD
Voglebat@aol.com  734.761.1100

Area Chair Rep for Large Areas
William Meyer, MSW, BCD
william.meyer@duke.edu  919.681.6840

2013 Conference
Penny Rosen, MSW, LCSW, BCD-P
rosenpmsw@aol.com  212.721.7010

Child & Adolescent Practice
Karen E. Baker, MSW
kembaker1@comcast.net  734.996.8185

Consortium Liaison
Barbara Berger, PhD
bbergerphd@sbcglobal.net  312.346.7757

Diversity-Otherness
Golnar Simpson, PhD
golsimpson@verizon.net  703.356.3033

Education / CE
(in transition)

Hospitality
Carol Thea, MSW, LCSW
cstthea@aol.com  212.861.3308

Myrna Ram, MSW
mramcsw@aol.com  212.755.3202

International Education & Membership
Richard Karpe, MS
rjkarpe@worldnet.att.net  212.777.0035

Membership
John Chiaramonte, MSW
johnlcsw@verizon.net  908.918.1192

New Professionals
Sally Fine, MSW, MA
swfine@cox.net  402.330.4014 x302

Newsletter
Editor
Donna Tarver, MMSW
dftarver@sbcglobal.net  214.691.2171

Assistant Editor
Ashley Warner, MSW
awarnerlcsw@gmail.com  212.561.1729

Public Relations
Karen E. Baker, MSW
kembaker1@comcast.net  734.996.8185

Adriana Passini, MSW, LCSW
adripk@aol.com  212.505.3588

Penny Rosen, MSW, LCSW, BCD-P
rosenpmsw@aol.com  212.721.7010

Research
Jerry Floersch, PhD, LCSW
jerry.floersch@gmail.com  216.346.3469

Social Responsibility & Social Justice
Jennifer Tolleson, PhD
Jentolleson@comcast.net  802.651.7670

Speakers Bureau
Nancy Perault, MSW, LCSW
nkperaults@nc.rr.com  919.490.1996

Study Group
(in transition)

Technology
Richard Karpe, LCSW, BCD
rjkarpe@worldnet.att.net  212.777.0035

Administrator
Barbara L. Matos, MS
barbara.matos@aapcsw.org  703.915.5928

Listserv Moderator
Joel Kanter, MSW
joelkanter@yahoo.com  301.585.6126

Advisory Board
Northern California
Velia Frost, MSW
Vk11@mac.com  415.387.9991

Rita Karuna Cahn, MSW
ritakaruna@mac.com  415.751.7004

Southern California
Los Angeles Chapter
Joan Rankin, PsyD, LCSW
rankinballon@ca.rr.com  310.815.9565

Orange County Chapter
Karen Redding, LCSW, PhD
kredding@mac.com  949.715.7007

Barbara Manalis, LCSW
bmanalis@cox.net  949.476.2036

Colorado
Cathy Krown Buirsiki, LCSW, BCD-P
ckBuirsiki@aol.com  303.388.7267

Illinois
Judith Aronson, PhD, LCSW
juditharonson@gmail.com  847.475.3883

Diane Leamy, PhD
d.leamy@comcast.net  708.341.1627

Massachusetts
Susan Bokor Nadas, LCSW
snadas@gmail.com  617.999.8458

Michigan / Ohio
Karen E. Baker, MSW
kembaker1@comcast.net  734.996.8185

Marybeth Atwell, MSW
maryb.atwell@gmail.com  517.333.3950

Minnesota
Beverly Caruso, MSW
bevecaruso@gmail.com  612.374.2618

Elise Sanders, MSW
emswenson@aol.com  612.210.2501

Nevada
Sally Fine, MSW, MA
swfine@cox.net  402.330.4014 x302

New Jersey
Deborah Bunim, MSW, PhD
debbunim@aol.com  201.670.1599

Wendy Winograd, MSW
wendywinograd@gmail.com  201.919.0108

New York
Penny Rosen, MSW, BCD-P
rosenpmsw@aol.com  212.721.7010

Membership Liaison
Janet Burak, MSW, LCSW
jburak3@nyc.rr.com  212.362.1866

North Carolina
Terrie Baker, MSW, LCSW
tsb123@mindspring.com  919.990.1227

William Meyer, MSW, BCD
william.meyer@duke.edu  919.681.6840

Rhode Island
Lee Whitman Raymond, PhD, MSW
leewhitman-raymond@msn.com  401.729.7342

Vermont
Jennifer Tolleson, PhD
jentolleson@comcast.net  802.651.7670

Greater Washington DC / Baltimore
Interim Area Chair
Joel Kanter, MSW
joelkanter@yahoo.com  301.585.6126

Membership Liaison
Susan Marks, MSW, LCSW
surobbin@comcast.net  703.533.9337

Washington State
Amy Ferlazzo, LCSW
amy.ferlazzo@gmail.com  206.307.4155